

# Reproducing kernel spaces and the Bargmann-Schiffer lemma

Daniel Alpay

Chapman University

Herglotz-Nevanlinna Theory Applied to Passive, Causal and Active Systems. BIRS 2019

Nevanlinna functions appear in numerous settings, for instance dissipative continuous time linear systems, operator models,... (negative squares, several quaternionic variables, operator-valued, from a topological vector space to its dual, positive real lemma in the quaternionic setting)

Purpose of the talk is in a different avenue: it is a survey talk on how reproducing kernel methods allow to prove the Bargmann-Schiffer lemma. Allow to consider the non-positive case and the matrix-valued case.

Reproducing kernel approach to Schur algorithm and related problem, see A-Dym, *On applications of reproducing kernel spaces to the Schur algorithm and rational  $J$  unitary factorizations*. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*: OT18 (1986) pp. 89-159.

Sequence of papers with Dijksma and Langer on rks methods applied to generalized Schur and Nevanlinna functions. A very general setting (for the scalar case) was presented in:

D.A., A. Dijksma, H. Langer and D. Volok. *A Schur transformation for functions in a general class of domains*. *Indagationes Mathematicae*. (N.S) (2012), no. 4, pp. 921-969.

In principle one can get the present results from that paper.

## Bargmann-Schiffer lemma

Let  $N$  be a non constant Nevanlinna, and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  in a neighborhood of which  $N$  is smooth and  $N'(x_0) > 0$ . Then,

$$n(z) = -\frac{1}{N(z) - N(x_0)} + \frac{1}{N'(x_0)(z - z_0)}$$

is still a Nevanlinna function.

$$n(z) = -\frac{1}{N(z) - N(x_0)} - \left( -\frac{1}{N'(x_0)(z - z_0)} \right)$$

We thus get as a linear fractional transformation in terms of  $n$ . Leads to continuous fraction expansion of  $N$

$$N(z) = N(x_0) + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N'(x_0)(z - x_0)} - n}$$

## Strategy

Build two reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, one associated to  $N$  and one “to  $x_0$ ” (i.e. “interpolation data”) and relate them by an isometry which leads to a linear fractional transformation (rks approach, developed by A-Bruinsma-Dijksma-de Snoo, Dym)

## Outline

- 1 Schur and Nevanlinna functions and the associated kernels
- 2 Reproducing kernel spaces
- 3 The proof of the Bargmann-Schiffer lemma
- 4 Conclusions

# Schur and Nevanlinna functions

## Positive kernels

$K(z, w)$  defined in a set  $\Omega$  is positive (also called positive definite) if all the matrices

$$(K(z_j, z_k))_{j,k=1}^N$$

are non negative (all choices of  $N, z_1, \dots, z_N \in \Omega$ )

## Reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated to a positive kernel:

$\mathcal{H}(K)$  with two properties: The functions  $z \mapsto K(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(K)$  and

$$f(w) = \langle f(\cdot), K(\cdot, w) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K)}$$

## Negative squares

When the above matrices have at most  $k$  strictly negative eigenvalues. Then, the Hilbert space is replaced by a Pontryagin space.

## Finite dimensional reproducing kernel spaces

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a finite dimensional space of functions defined on a set  $\Omega$ , with basis  $f_1, \dots, f_N$ , and let  $P$  be an invertible positive matrix. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  endowed with the inner product

$$\langle F(z)c, F(z)d \rangle = d^* P c, \quad F(z) = (f_1(z) \ \cdots \ f_N(z)), \quad c, d \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

is a rkhs with reproducing kernel

$$F(z)P^{-1}F(w)^*$$

$N = 1$

$$K(z, w) = \frac{f_1(z)f_1(w)^*}{\|f\|^2}$$



## Weak convergence in rks:

An important point in rkhs is that weak convergence implies pointwise convergence:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K)}$$

Take  $g(z) = K(z, w)$  to get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(w) = g(w)$ .

## Schur functions:

$|s(z)| \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  or equivalently  $\frac{1-s(z)\overline{s(w)}}{1-z\overline{w}}$  is a positive kernel

## Nevanlinna functions (also called $R$ functions):

$\text{Im}N(z) \geq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}_+$  or equivalently  $\frac{N(z)-\overline{N(w)}}{z-\overline{w}}$  is a positive kernel in the open upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . An interesting link with physics in the paper of Wigner and von Neumann, *Significance of Loewner's theorem in the quantum theory of collisions*, Annals of math, 1954.

## de Branges Rovnyak spaces

Reproducing kernel spaces associated to the kernels associated to a Schur function and a Nevanlinna function.

## Schur algorithm:

If  $s$  is a Schur function and  $|s(0)| < 1$  then

$$\sigma(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{s(z)-s(0)}{z(1-\overline{s(0)}s(z))}, & z \neq 0 \\ \frac{s'(0)}{1-|s(0)|^2}, & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

is also a Schur function. Can iterate and get a continued fraction expansion of  $s$ .

$$s(z) = \rho_0 + \frac{z(1-|\rho_0|^2)}{\rho_0 z - \frac{1}{\rho_1 + \frac{z(1-|\rho_1|^2)}{\rho_1 z - \ddots}}}$$

Key in the proof is Schwarz' lemma.

## Bargmann-Schiffer lemma

(called by Julia, generalized Schwarz lemma) Let  $N$  be Nevanlinna, and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  in a neighborhood of which  $N$  is smooth and  $N'(x_0) > 0$ . Then,

$$n(z) = -\frac{1}{N(z) - N(x_0)} + \frac{1}{N'(x_0)(z - z_0)}$$

is still a Nevanlinna function.

Can be iterated and lead to continued fraction expansion

RKHS associated to a Nevanlinna function

$$N(z) = a + bz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t}{t^2+1} \right\} d\mu(t), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2+1} < \infty$$

and  $a$  real,  $b \geq 0$ . This formula gives an analytic extension of  $N$  to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover

$$\frac{N(z) - \overline{N(w)}}{z - \overline{w}} = b + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-z)(t-\overline{w})} \quad \text{is positive definite in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

Remark:

Krein and Langer extended these representations to the non positive case.

# The space $\mathcal{L}(N)$

$\mathcal{L}(N)$  is the space of functions analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$F(z) = \sqrt{bc} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)d\mu(t)}{t-z}$$

with norm

$$\|F\|^2 = b|c|^2 + \|f\|_{\mu}^2$$

## Points of local losslessness

If  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-x_0)^{2m}} < \infty$$

(network terminology; Dewilde-Dym)

## Lemma

Assume that  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-x_0)^2} < \infty$ . Then,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} N(x_0 + ih) \text{ exists}$$

the function  $z \mapsto \frac{N(z) - N(x_0)}{z - x_0} \in \mathcal{L}(N)$  with norm  $\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-x_0)^2}}$ .

Idea of the proof (which works also for negative squares):

The functions

$$z \mapsto \frac{N(z) - N(x_0 + ih)}{z - (x_0 + ih)}$$

converge weakly and hence pointwise to  $z \mapsto \frac{N(z) - N(x_0)}{z - x_0}$ .

# A one dimensional space

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ g(z) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ N(x_0) \end{pmatrix}}{z - x_0} \right\}$$

The map  $g \mapsto (N(z) - 1)g(z)$  sends  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ .

We endow  $\mathcal{M}$  with the norm

$$\|g\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t - x_0)^2}.$$

so that the map is an isometry.



Let  $J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$\Theta(z) = I - \frac{1}{p(z - x_0)} uu^* J_1, \quad p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t - x_0)^2}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ N(x_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\frac{uu^*}{\|g\|^2(z - x_0)(\bar{w} - x_0)} = \frac{J_1 - \Theta(z)J_1\Theta(w)^*}{z - \bar{w}}$$

recognize the one dimensional reproducing kernel formula for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We have an isometry from  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ . The space  $\mathcal{M}$  has a special structure. It will force a linear fractional representation of  $N$  in terms of another Nevanlinna function.

## Adjoint of multiplication operators in rks

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^{q \times p}$ -valued multiplier between the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}(K_1)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(K_2)$  ( $K_1$  is  $\mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ -valued and  $K_2$  is  $\mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$ -valued). Then,

$$(M_X^*(K_2(\cdot, w)d))(z) = K_1(z, w)X(w)^*d$$

So with  $X(z) = \begin{pmatrix} N(z) & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$M_X^* \left( \frac{N(z) - \overline{N(w)}}{z - \overline{w}} \right) = \frac{J_1 - \Theta(z)J_1\Theta(w)^*}{z - \overline{w}} \begin{pmatrix} N(w) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The adjoint of an isometry is in particular contractive and so

$$\|M_X^* \left( \frac{N(z) - \overline{N(w)}}{z - \overline{w}} \right)\| \leq \left\| \frac{J_1 - \Theta(z)J_1\Theta(w)^*}{z - \overline{w}} \begin{pmatrix} N(w) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} N(z) & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{J_1 - \Theta(z)J_1\Theta(z)^*}{z - \overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} N(z) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{N(z) - \overline{N(z)}}{z - \overline{z}}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} N(z) & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{J_1}{z - \overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} N(z) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{N(z)} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}{z - \overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} N(z) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{N(z) - \overline{N(z)}}{z - \overline{z}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(N(z) \quad -1) \frac{\Theta(z) J_1 \Theta(z)^*}{z - \bar{z}} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{N(z)} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Write (up to a multiplicative factor)

$$\Theta(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p(z - x_0) - N(x_0) & 1 \\ -N(x_0)^2 & p(z - x_0) + N(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$\frac{(Na - c \quad Nb - d) \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Nb - d} \\ \overline{Na - c} \end{pmatrix}}{z - \bar{z}} \geq 0$$

and so

$$n = \frac{Nb - d}{Na - c}$$

is Nevanlinna

## Bargmann-Schiffer

Plug in  $n = \frac{Nb-d}{Na-c}$  the values of  $a, b, c, d$  to get

$$n(z) = \frac{N(z) - N(x_0) - p(z - x_0)}{-N(z)N(x_0) + N(z)p(z - x_0) + N(x_0)'}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n(z)} + N(x_0)} = -\frac{1}{N(z) - N(x_0)} + \frac{1}{p(z - x_0)}$$

To get Bargmann-Schiffer itself, replace  $\Theta(z)$  by  $\Theta(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N(x_0) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The previous strategy can be applied to various generalizations of Nevanlinna functions. Tools from operator theory used still hold in the Pontryagin space setting. Also can consider matrix-valued case (tangential and full values). Similar strategy was used to study rigidity theorems for generalized Schur functions (A-Reich-Shoikhet, A-Dijksma-Langer-Reich-Shoikhet).