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# Motivation: Multi-Ethnic PAGE Study 

- The Population Architecture using Genomics and Epidemiology (PAGE) study focuses on exploring the genetics of underrepresented populations.
- PAGE consists of 49,839 individuals of nonEuropean ancestry from multi-ethnic studies,
- Individuals were genotyped using the approximately 1.3 million variants on the MultiEthnic Global Array (MEGA) imputed to 1000 Genomes Phase 3


## Muti-Ethnic PAGE Study
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## Muti-Ethnic PAGE Study
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# Motivation: TOPMed WGS Project 

- NIH/NHLBI Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) Whole-genome-sequence (WGS) project is generated deep whole genome sequencing data for more than 170,000 individuals.
- Cohorts are from multi-ethnic populations with well-defined phenotypes and existing clinical outcomes data.


## TOPMed WGS Project: Multi-Ethic Cohorts

- 30X coverage lllumina X-10 sequencing
- 4 sequencing centers with harmonized protocols

Phase 1 and 2: 72K individuals


Chart from TOPMed DCC

## PAGE and TOPMed and PAGE:

## Opportunities

- The PAGE and TOPMed WGS Project offer unprecedented opportunities for:
- Identification of population-specific variants as well as novel low frequency and rare genetic variants underlying phenotypic diversity
- Potential to provide new insights into human health and health disparities of minority populations for many complex diseases


## TOPMed and PAGE : Challenges

- Challenges for analysis of PAGE and TOPMed whole genome data:
- Multi-ethnic populations and confounding due to highly heterogeneous genetic and environmental backgrounds within and across cohorts
- Variety of study designs: case-control and cohort studies, family-based studies, founder populations (e.g., TOPMed includes the Framingham Heart Study, Jackson Heart Study, and Amish samples).
- Computational burden for analysis of deep whole genome sequence data for 120,000+ individuals (TOPMed) and 50,000 individuals with 1000 Genomes imputed genotypes (PAGE)


## Linear Mixed Models

- Linear mixed models (LMMs) have emerged as a powerful and effective method of choice for genetic association testing in the presence of sample structure
- LMMs have been used to simultaneously account for both population structure, family structure, and/or cryptic relatedness


## Linear Mixed Models

- A number of LMMs have been proposed including EMMAX proposed by Kang et al.[Nat Genet, 2010], GEMMA proposed by Zhou and Stephens [Nat Genet, 2012] and others:
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# LMMs for Genetic Association Testing 

- Most LMM methods fit the following linear mixed model

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{g}_{s} \beta_{s}+\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text { with } \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \equiv \sigma_{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)
$$

where:

- $\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector of phenotype values
- $\mathbf{g}_{s}$ is the vector of genotypes at the SNP being tested,
- $\beta_{s}$ is the (scalar) association parameter of interest, measuring the effect of genotype on phenotype
- $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a matrix of covariate values with vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of covariate effects
- $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is an estimated genetic relationship matrix (GRM) capturing population structure and relatedness
- $\sigma_{A}^{2}$ is the additive genetic variance for polygenic effects
- | is the identity matrix
- $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}$ presents non-genetic variance due to non-genetic effects assumed to be acting independently on individuals


## Standard GRM for LMMs

- Average genotypic correlations across the genome is summarized with a single genetic relatedness matrix (GRM)
- GRM entry for subjects $i$ and $j$ who have M genotyped markers across the autosomes:

$$
\hat{\Psi}_{i j}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\left(g_{m i}-2 \hat{p}_{m}\right)\left(g_{m j}-2 \hat{p}_{m}\right)}{2 \hat{p}_{m}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m}\right)}
$$

## Linear Mixed Models for Genetic <br> Association Testing

- LMMs have largely been evaluated in samples with relatively subtle population structure, e.g., European populations
- Existing LMMs methods use a single empirical genetic relationship matrix to model the entire genealogy of sampled individuals as part of the covariance structure of the phenotype.
- Samples from multi-ethnic cohorts often have complex genealogy due to ancestry admixture and both recent and distant genetic relatedness
- The genealogy of sampled individuals consists of:
- Distant genetic relatedness, such as population structure
- Recent genetic relatedness: pedigree relationships of close relatives


## Ancestry Admixture



Pop. A

## Recent versus Distant Genetic

## Relatedness

- Distinguishing familial relatedness from ancestry using genotype data in diverse populations is difficult, as both manifest as genetic similarity through the sharing of alleles.

Ancestral Population


Conomos et al. (AJHG, 2016)

## Deconvolution of Genetic Relatedness

- Conomos et al., Am J Hum Genet, 2016
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## LMM-OPS for Multi-Ethnic Populations

- Matt Conomos PhD dissertation work developed LMM-OPS for association mapping in ancestrally diverse populations
- LMM-OPS, linear mixed models with orthogonal partitioned structure
- Appropriately accounts for the complex genealogy of ancestrally diverse samples by partitioning sample structure into two orthogonal components:

1. a component for the sharing of alleles inherited identical by descent (IBD) from recent common ancestors, which represents familial relatedness
2. and another component for allele sharing due to more distant common ancestry, which represents population structure.

# LMM-OPS for MultiEthnic Populations 

- With LMM-OPS, a score test for association is calculated based on the following linear mixed model:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{g}_{s} \beta_{s}+\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\gamma}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text { with } \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \equiv \sigma_{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Phi}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)
$$

where:

- $\Phi$ is an genetic relatedness matrix adjusted for ancestry admixture (via the PCs) with PC-Relate
- $V$ is a matrix with PCs from PC-AiR, and $\gamma$ is a vector (unknown) ancestry effects on the phenotype
- X is a matrix of covariate values with vector $\alpha$ of covariate effects


# PC-Relate GRMs for LMM-OPS 

Two possible GRMs we have considered for LMM-OPS are as follows, with the following entries for subjects $i$ and $j$ who have $M$ genotyped markers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\Phi}_{i j}^{1}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\left(g_{m i}-2 \hat{p}_{m i}\right)\left(g_{m j}-2 \hat{p}_{m j}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \hat{p}_{m i}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m i}\right)} \sqrt{2 \hat{p}_{m j}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m j}\right)}} \\
& \hat{\Phi}_{i j}^{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(g_{m i}-2 \hat{p}_{m i}\right)\left(g_{m j}-2 \hat{p}_{m j}\right)}{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sqrt{2 \hat{p}_{m i}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m i}\right)} \sqrt{2 \hat{p}_{m j}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m j}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Both use individual specific allele frequencies, $\hat{p}_{m i}$, calculated from a regression analysis with the PCs from PC-AiR included as predictors of genotype

## Simulations with Admixture: Genomic Control Inflation

## Evaluation

| Method | GenomeWide | Highlya <br> Differentiated | Moderately ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differentiated | Weakly ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Differentiated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMM-OPS | 1.000 (0.0002) | 0.999 (0.0007) | 1.001 (0.0004) | 1.001 (0.0003) |
| EMMAX | 1.001 (0.0002) | 1.098 (0.0011) | 1.016 (0.0004) | 0.979 (0.0003) |
| GEMMA | 1.004 (0.0002) | 1.110 (0.0011) | 1.020 (0.0005) | 0.980 (0.0003) |
| Linear Reg. with PCs | 1.026 (0.0006) | 1.025 (0.0009) | 1.027 (0.0007) | 1.026 (0.0006) |
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## ASSOCIATION STUDIES ARTICLE

Genome-wide association study of iron traits and relation to diabetes in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL): potential genomic intersection of iron and glucose regulation?

## Association Analysis with Linear

## Mixed Models

- LMM-OPS worked well for WHI-SHARe Hispanics and the Hispanic Community Health Study / Study of Latinos
- Applied LMM-OPS and standard LMM to PAGE and TOPMed traits
- Very perplexing results for many phenotypes!
- One phenotype with badly behaved results if fasting glucose


## PAGE Analysis: Standard LMM

## (EMMAX/GCTA)

- Results for PAGE fasting glucose with adjustment for BMI

Imputed SNPs INFO $>=0.4$ \& effN $>=30$


## PAGE Analysis: LMM-OPS

- LMM-OPS Results for fasting glucose with adjustment for BMI in PAGE

Imputed SNPs INFO>=0.4 \& effN>=30


## Problems? Confounding due to combining

## samples form multi-ethnic populations

- Ethnic groups (and subgroups) often share distinct dietary habits and other lifestyle characteristics that result in traits of interest having different distributions that are correlated with genetic ancestry and/or ethnicity.



## PAGE Glucose by Race/Ethnicity

## PAGE Glucose Boxplots



PAGE Glucose Densities


# PAGE Principal Components Analysis 



Race/Ethnicity
African-American

- Hispanic/Latino

Asian
Native Hawaiian

- Native American

Other

Principal Component 1
Figure Courtesy of Mariaelisa Graff (UNC Chapel Hill)

## PAGE Principal Components Analysis
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## PAGE Analysis: Standard LMM

## (EMMAX/GCTA)

- Recall the standard LMM model

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{g}_{s} \beta_{s}+\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text { with } \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \equiv \sigma_{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{I}\right)
$$

- For glucose with BMI adjustment, variance components were estimated
- Residual variance component: $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^{2}=0.258$
- Additive genetic variance component: $\hat{\sigma}_{A}^{2}=0.063$
$\hat{\Psi}_{i j}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\left(g_{m i}-2 \hat{p}_{m}\right)\left(g_{m j}-2 \hat{p}_{m}\right)}{2 \hat{p}_{m}\left(1-\hat{p}_{m}\right)}$


## Standard GRM for PAGE

- Below is a figure of the diagonal elements of the standard GRM for PAGE.

Standard GRM (Self-Kinship)


## LMM-OPS GRM for PAGE

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{g}_{s} \beta_{s}+\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\mathbf{V} \gamma+\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text { with } \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \equiv \sigma_{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Phi}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \mathbf{I}\right)
$$



## Heterogeneity in Phenotypic Variances

- For multi-ethnic population samples, we should expect confounding due to traits having different means and variances.
- Developed HEMMAT: Heterogenous Effects Mixed Model Association Test.
- HEMMAT is an extension of LMM-OPS to allow for multiple random effects to be included in the model, in addition to the PC-adjusted GRM.
- HEMMAT incorporates additional random effects to allow for heterogeneous variances by self-reported race/ethnicity or by study (or any other discrete classification)


# Real Phenotype Data for Methods Comparison 

- Variance components were estimated for BMI adjusted fasting glucose
- Additive genetic variance component is . 032
- Residual variance components for each race/ethnicity:

| Race/Ethnicity | Sample Size | Residual Variance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AA | 6457 | 0.41 |
| HS | 13556 | 0.20 |
| AS | 1918 | 0.40 |
| HI | 1400 | 0.43 |
| NA | 412 | 0.24 |
| Other | 168 | 0.35 |

## HEMMAT Association Analysis Results

## - HEMMAT results for fasting glucose with adjustment of BMI

Homogenous Trait Variance


Imputed SNPs INFO>=0.4 \& effN $>=30$


Heterogeneous Trait Variance by Ethnicity/Race

Imputed SNPs INFO $>=0.4$ \& effN $>=30$


## Linear Mixed Model with Standard GRM

## and Heterogenous Variances



## Comparison of HEMMAT and Standard

## LMM with Heterogenous Variance

- Both HEMMAT and LMM with a standard GRM and heterogenous variances have proper control of genomic inflation for fasting glucose in PAGE
- HEMMAT: 168 genome-wide significant variants ( $\mathrm{p}<5 \mathrm{e}-08$ ) for fasting glucose identified
- LMM with standard GRM and heterogenous variance: 146 genome-wide significant variants for fasting glucose identified

Comparison of HEMMAT to LMM with Heterogenous Variance

| LOCUS | Chr | Position | HEMMAT | LMM with Standard <br> GRM and <br> Heterogenous <br> Variances |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GCKR <br> rs780093 | 2 | 27742603 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.48 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| ABCB11 <br> rs563694 | 2 | 169774071 | $5.85 \mathrm{e}-25$ | $1.64 \mathrm{e}-22$ |
| GCK <br> rs1799884 | 7 | 44229068 | $3.94 \mathrm{e}-23$ | $7.35 \mathrm{e}-22$ |
| TCF7L2 <br> rs7903146 | 10 | 114758349 | $2.78 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $1.47 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| MTNR1B <br> rs10830963 | 11 | 92708710 | $3.50 \mathrm{e}-29$ | $4.48 \mathrm{e}-28$ |
| FOXA2 <br> rs3833331 | 20 | 22562326 | $3.24 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $3.83 \mathrm{e}-11$ |

## TOPMed Hemoglobin Distributions by Study






## TOPMed BMI Distributions by Study

BMI Boxplots


Quantile Normalized BMI Boxplot


BMI Densities


Quantile Normalized BMI Densities


## Heterogeneity in Hemoglobin Phenotypic

## Variances: By Study

- HEMMAT results for hemoglobin: heterogeneous phenotypic variances

Homogenous Residual Variance



Heterogeneous Residual Variances by Study


## Heterogeneity in BMI Phenotypic Variances

- HEMMAT Association results for BMI: heterogeneous phenotypic variances

Homogenous Residual Variance


##  /

Heterogeneous Residual Variances by Study


## Heterogenous residual variances for BMI

- Residual variance components of BMI for a few studies in TOPMed

| TopMed Cohort Study | Phenotypic Residual <br> Variances |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jackson Heart Study | 35.44 |
| CFS | 52.33 |
| Framingham Heart Study | 13.14 |
| Amish | 12.19 |
| COPDGene | 26.61 |
| HVH | 61.31 |

Allowing for heterogeneity in variances: By Self-

## Reported Race

- There are limitations with modeling heterogenous variances by study in TOPMed.
- A number of TOPMed studies have multiple ethnicities/ancestries.
- Also explored the differences in BMI distribution by self-reported race.


## TOPMed BMI Distributions: By Race

BMI Boxplots by Self-Reported Race
BMI Densities



Quantile Normalized BMI Boxplots



## BMI Heterogeneity: Study vs. Self-Reported Race

- HEMMAT Association results for BMI: heterogeneous phenotypic variances

Heterogenous Residual Variance by Study

lambda $=1.029$


Heterogenous Residual Variance by Race

lambda $=0.9982$


## Novel Discoveries in MultiEthnic PAGE Study

- Conducted a GWAS of 26 clinical and behavioral phenotypes in 49,839 non-European individuals.
- Allowed for heterogenous variances across all phenotypes
- 574 GWAS catalog variants across these traits were confirmed in PAGE
- 28 novel loci were identified.
- Manuscript submitted to Nature. Currently is in revision and will be re-submitted soon.


## GENESIS SOFTWARE

- GENESIS: R software package is available from Bioconductor
- Installation in R:
- source("https://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
- biocLite("GENESIS")
- Current release of GENESIS:
- PC-AiR
- PC-Relate
- LMM-OPS
- HEMMAT (for multi-ethnic populations with heterogenous variances)
- Burden and SKAT tests have been extended, allow for population structure/relatedness and heterogenous variances


## Current/Future Work: X Chromosome analyses
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Caitlin McHugh, Lisa Brown, and Timothy A. Thornton ${ }^{1}$
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## Current/Future Work: X Chromosome analyses

## in TOPMed and PAGE

- Relatedness and population structure on the Xchromosome is quite difference than the autosomes in multi-ethnic populations
- Dr. Caitlin McHugh has been developing extension of mixed methods for appropriate association testing (single variant and testing multiple variants simultaneously) on the X in multi-ethnic populations.
- Currently implementing the methods in GENESIS and will be applying to TOPMed and PAGE.


# Acknowledgements: TOPMed <br> <br> UW DCC for TOPMed 

 <br> <br> UW DCC for TOPMed}

Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington

Bruce Weir<br>Tim Thornton<br>Ken Rice<br>Sharon Browning<br>Brian Browning<br>Katie Kerr<br>Tamar Sofer<br>Adam Szpiro<br>Cathy Laurie<br>David Levine<br>Cecelia Laurie<br>Stephanie Gogarten<br>Adrienne Stilp<br>Deepti Jain<br>Quenna Wong<br>Xiuwen Zheng

## Grant Funding:

NIGMS: P01 GM099568
NHLBI: R01 HL120393, HHSN268201300005C

## Acknowledgements: PAGE

- PAGE Members \& PAGE Lead Coordinators.
- The Population Architecture Using Genomics and Epidemiology (PAGE) program is funded by the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) and the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD), supported by U01HG007417 (BioME), U01HG007416 (CALiCo), U01 HG007397 (MEC), U01 HG007376 (WHI), and U01HG007419 (Coordinating Center).


## Special Acknowledgements

- Matthew Conomos, PhD; UW GAC

- Stephanie Gogarten, PhD; UW GAC

- Xiuwen Zheng, PhD; UW GAC


[^0]:    a Highly differentiated SNPs: $D_{s} \geq 0.4$ between the two populations
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