

A Multiscale Micromorphic Molecular Dynamics (MMMD) and Its Applications

Shaofan Li

Department of Civil & Environment Engineering University of California, Berkeley



Coupled Mathematical Models for Physical and Biological Nanoscale Systems and Their Applications, Banff, Alberta, Canada, September 1th, 2016

I. Introduction



I. Is Multiscale simulation is a science ? or it is an ad hoc numerical method aiming at saving computational cost.









One day we shall have the Quantum Computer



2. Can we use Molecular Dynamics to design an airplane engine ?

NO!

Why? Stress-strain based Design

How to link microscale MD to macroscale Thermodynamics (mechanics)?

Molecular dynamics simulations at constant pressure and/or temperature

HC Andersen - The Journal of chemical physics, 1980 - scitation.aip.org In the molecular dynamics simulation method for fluids, the equations of motion for a collection of particles in a fixed volume are solved numerically. The energy, volume, and number of particles are constant for a particular simulation, and it is assumed that time ... Cited by 4353 Related articles All 3 versions Cite Save

Among many other things, Andersen proposed to 'scale' (multiplicative decomposition) each atom positions as

$$\mathbf{r}_I = \Omega^{1/3}(t) \mathbf{s}_I(t) \; .$$

At the initial coarse scale time,

 $\mathbf{R}_I = \Omega(0) \cdot \mathbf{s}_I(t'), \quad t'$ the time here is fine scale time.

By doing so, he was able to introduce macroscale pressure p as the work conjugate of Ω for an equilibrium MD ensemble.

The NPT ensemble MD



HC Andersen

Parrinello-Rahman Molecular Dynamics

Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method

M Parrinello, A Rahman - Journal of Applied physics, 1981 - scitation.aip.org A new Lagrangian formulation is introduced. It can be used to make molecular dynamics (MD) calculations on systems under the most general, externally applied, conditions of stress. In this formulation the MD cell shape and size can change according to dynamical ... Cited by 4950 Related articles All 8 versions Cite Save

> Let **a**, **b**, and **c** are three position vectors representing the three sides of the MD cell.

We form a second order tensor **h** by

$$\mathbf{h} = [\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}|\mathbf{c}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} .$$

or

 $\mathbf{h} = (a_i \delta_{i1} + b_i \delta_{i2} + c_i \delta_{i3}) \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{i}_j \ .$





C

 $h_{i1} = a_i$, $h_{i2} = b_i$, and $h_{i3} = c_i$, we have $\mathbf{h} = h_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{i}_j$, and $\Omega = \det{\{\mathbf{h}\}}$. and $\mathbf{h}_1 = \mathbf{a} = h_{i1}\mathbf{e}_i$, $\mathbf{h}_2 = \mathbf{b} = h_{i2}\mathbf{e}_i$, and $\mathbf{h}_3 = \mathbf{c} = h_{i3}\mathbf{e}_i$.

b a We let

Parinello and Rahman proposed the following 'scaling' (multiplicative decomposition) on atomic positions:

$$\mathbf{r}_{I}(t) = \mathbf{h}(t) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{I}(t), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{s}_{I} = \mathbf{h}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{I} ; \quad (\text{Cf Andersen's}) \quad \mathbf{r}_{I} = \Omega^{1/3} \mathbf{s}_{I}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \phi(r_{ij}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_{i} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi'(r_{ij}) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ji}}{r_{ij}}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{PR} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \phi(r_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} WTr(\dot{\mathbf{h}}^{T} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{h}}) - p\Omega ,$$

where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{h}^T \cdot \mathbf{h}$; $\frac{1}{2} W Tr(\dot{\mathbf{h}}^T \cdot \dot{\mathbf{h}}) = \frac{W}{2} (\dot{\mathbf{a}}^2 + \dot{\mathbf{b}}^2 + \dot{\mathbf{c}}^2)$ and $W = Tr\left(\sum_i m_i \mathbf{s}_i \otimes \mathbf{s}_i\right)$.

The Lagrangian equations for the Parrinello-Rahman MD:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\phi'(r_{ij})}{m_{i}r_{ij}} \right) (\mathbf{s}_{i} - \mathbf{s}_{j}) - \mathbf{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{G}} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i},$$

$$W\ddot{\mathbf{h}} = -(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{virial} + p\mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}$$

where the Virial stress,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{virial} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{I} \left\{ -m_{I} \mathbf{v}_{I} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \left(\frac{\phi'(r_{IJ})}{r_{IJ}} \right) \mathbf{r}_{IJ} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{IJ} \right\}$$

Parrinello-Rahman's (N σ H) extended Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{PR} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \dot{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \phi(r_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} W \text{Tr}(\dot{\mathbf{h}}^T \dot{\mathbf{h}}) - p\Omega - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{G})$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{h}_0^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{S} - p\mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{h}_0^{-T} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0, \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{h}^T \cdot \mathbf{h}.$$

Parrinello-Rahman MD:

By the way, this is

 $\ddot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\phi'(r_{ij})}{m_{i}r_{ij}} \right) (\mathbf{s}_{i} - \mathbf{s}_{j}) - \mathbf{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{G}} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i}, \qquad \text{NOT 100}/\% \text{ correct } !$ $\boldsymbol{ au} = J \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $W\ddot{\mathbf{h}} = (\boldsymbol{\tau} + p\mathbf{I})\mathbf{\Pi} - \Omega_0\mathbf{h}\cdot\mathbf{\Sigma}$, with $\mathbf{\Pi} = \Omega^{-1}\mathbf{h}^{-T}$.

and

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ m_i \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \phi'(r_{ij}) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right\}$$

Remark: First principle Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = K - V + V^{ext}$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} (\dot{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i}) \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i} + \mathbf{h} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i})$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{i} \dot{\mathbf{h}}^{T} \dot{\mathbf{h}} : \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{s}_{i} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{i} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i}}_{K_{2}}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{K_{3}} \dot{\mathbf{h}}^{T} \mathbf{h} : \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i} \otimes \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{K_{4}} \mathbf{h}^{T} \dot{\mathbf{h}} : \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{s}_{i}}_{K_{4}}$$

where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{h}^T \cdot \mathbf{h}$.

Parrinello and Rahman made the following approximation

 $K_3 \approx 0$, and $K_4 \approx 0$.

The APR-MD lagrangian has been viewed as an ad hoc choice, as Parrinello and Rahman commented,

"..... Whether such a Lagrangian is derivable from first principles is a question for further study; its validity can be judged, as of now, by the equations of motion and the statistical ensembles that it generates."



PR-MD can simulate the Cubic-to-Tetragonal Structure Phase Transition

Recent Developments

The (Andersen-) Parrinello-Rahman (N σ H) ensemble MD was modified by Paolo Podio-Guidugli (Journal of Elasticity [2010], 145-153.)

Podio-Guidugli made the following changes: $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{F}$, and $\mathbf{s}_I \to \mathbf{R}_I$ (although he still called it \mathbf{s}_I). Let,



$$\mathcal{L}_{PG-PR} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} m_{I} (\mathbf{F}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{F}) : (\dot{\mathbf{s}}_{I} \otimes \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{I}) + \frac{1}{2} W \|\dot{\mathbf{F}}\|^{2} - U(\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{I}) + \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{C} \Omega_{0}$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \cdot \mathbf{F}$ is the right Cauchy-Green tensor. Note that in the original paper, the last term is $\Omega_0 \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{F}$ may be a typo.

Consider,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{PG-PR}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}}_I} \right) - \frac{\partial L_{PG-PR}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_I} = 0 , \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{PG-PR}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{F}}} \right) - \frac{\partial L_{PG-PR}}{\partial \mathbf{F}} = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{PG-PR}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}}_I} \right) - \frac{\partial L_{PG-PR}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_I} = 0 , \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{PG-PR}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{F}}} \right) - \frac{\partial L_{PG-PR}}{\partial \mathbf{F}} = 0 . \end{cases}$$

We obtain,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{s}}_{I} + \mathbf{C}^{-1} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{I} + \frac{1}{m_{I}} \sum_{J \neq I} \frac{1}{r_{IJ}} \phi'(r_{IJ})(\mathbf{s}_{I} - \mathbf{s}_{J}) = 0$$
$$W\ddot{\mathbf{F}} = -\Omega_{0} \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{virial} - \mathbf{S}_{ext})$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{virial} = \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \sum_{I=1}^{N} \left\{ -m_I \dot{\mathbf{s}}_I \otimes \dot{\mathbf{s}}_I + \sum_{J \neq I} \phi'(r_{IJ}) (\mathbf{s}_I - \mathbf{s}_J) \otimes (\mathbf{s}_I - \mathbf{s}_J) \right\}$$



The Differential Manifold Interpretation of From Atomistic-to-Continuum MD

Multiscale Micromorphic Molecular Dynamics (MMMD)



 $\mathbf{r}_{lpha i} = oldsymbol{\phi}_{lpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \; \; ext{and} \; \; oldsymbol{\phi}_{lpha} := \mathbf{F}_{lpha} \cdot oldsymbol{\chi}_{lpha},$

where ϕ_{α} is the total deformation tensor of the α -th cell, and and $\chi_{\alpha} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha}$.

MMMD couples three scales

- 1. Fine scale atomistic dynamics
- 2. Mesoscale micromorphic dynamics
- 3. Macroscale particle dynamics



Decomposition

Multiscale Decomposition

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} + \mathbf{r}_{\alpha i}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots M; \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots N_{\alpha}$$



where ϕ_{α} is the total deformation tensor of the α -th cell, and and $\chi_{\alpha} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha}$.

$$\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{r}_{\beta} - \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}, \ \mathbf{R}_{\alpha} := \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}(0), \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} := \mathbf{R}_{\beta} - \mathbf{R}_{\alpha}$$

F is determined by the positions of center of mass of MD cells.

We first define : $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{h}} \omega(|\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}|) \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta0}$ The define a two-point tensor \mathbf{F}_{α} $\mathbf{N} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_h} \omega(|\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}|) \mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta0}$ Radius 1 χ_{lpha} $= \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_h} \omega(|\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}|) \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta0} .$ The Cauchy-Born Rule $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta}=\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta};$ $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_{h}} \omega(|\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}|) \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta0} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_{h}} \omega(|\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}|) \mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta0} \Big) \mathbf{K}_{\alpha}^{-1}$



Micromorphic Multiplicative Decomposition









Recall E. Kroner's incompatible strain



Recall E. H. Lee's Decomposition

First principle Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \sum_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^{ext}$$

Before constructing the governing equations of MMMD, we would like to revisit the statistic conditions in APR-MD and provide some explanations or interpretations. Consider the kinetic energy of the α -th cell:

$$\begin{split} K_{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} + \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} + \phi_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i}) \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} + \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} + \phi_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{M_{\alpha}}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}}_{K_{1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha}^{T} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{i}}_{K_{2}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i}}_{K_{3}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha}^{T} \phi_{\alpha} \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \otimes \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha}^{T} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{i}}_{K_{4}} \end{split}$$

where $M_{\alpha} = \sum_{i} m_{i}$ is the mass of the cell, and $\mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}$. Introduce the following statistical assumption:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{S} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{i} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \chi_{\alpha 0}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\alpha i} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha i} \cdot \chi_{\alpha 0}^{T}$$
$$= \chi_{\alpha 0}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \cdot \chi_{\alpha 0}^{-T} = constant \ tensor.$$

Statistical Assumption: J^{S}_{α} is a constant Spherical Tensor

If we choose \mathbf{E}_I as principal axes, we can have a simple expression of \mathbf{J}_{α}^S ,

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{S} = J_{11}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{1} + J_{22}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{2} + J_{33}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{3} .$$

$$(37)$$

Since \mathbf{J}_{α}^{S} is spherical, we may write $J_{11}^{S} = J_{22}^{S} = J_{33}^{S} = W_{\alpha}$. Now it becomes clear that the quantity W used in the original PR-MD is related to the component of Euler's inertia tensor. Therefore, naturally, the second term of kinetic energy becomes:

$$K_2 = \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha} Tr(\dot{\phi}_{\alpha}^T \dot{\phi}_{\alpha}) \tag{38}$$

We call the expression (35) as the First statistical condition of APR-MD.

$$\mathbf{AC}(\tau) = <\mathbf{S}_i(t) \otimes \mathbf{S}_i(t+\tau) > := \sum_i m_i \mathbf{S}_i(t) \otimes \mathbf{S}_i(t+\tau)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\mathbf{AC}(\tau)\Big|_{\tau=0} = \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{S}_i(t) \otimes \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i(t+\tau) = 0$$

Similarly,
$$\sum_{i} m_i \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i(t) \otimes \mathbf{S}_i = 0$$

Take into account macroscale B.C.

$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} M_{\beta} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \mathbf{J}_{\beta}^{S} : \left(\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\beta}^{T} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\beta} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\beta} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{i \in \beta, j \in \gamma} V(r_{ij})$$
$$+ \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i \in \beta} \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\beta i} + \sum_{\beta} S_{\beta 0} \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{\beta 0} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\beta} + \sum_{\beta} \Omega_{\beta 0} \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{\beta 0} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\beta}$$



 $\mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}$. Introduce the following statistical assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{S} &= \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{i} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha 0}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\alpha i} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\alpha i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha 0}^{-T} & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha}, \\ &= \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha 0}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha 0}^{-T} = constant tensor. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^{S} = J_{11}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{1} + J_{22}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{2} + J_{33}^{S} \mathbf{E}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{3}$$

This derivation is both brilliant and splendid ! $\mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L}_m \ (\mathbf{r}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{S}_i).$ Thus $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i}$

$$\frac{m}{\partial \mathbf{L}_{\alpha}} = 0. \qquad \qquad m_i \ddot{\mathbf{S}}_i = -m_i \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\sum_{\beta} \sum_{j \in \beta \neq i \in \alpha} \mathbf{f}_{ji} + \mathbf{b}_i \right)$$

 ϕ_{α}^{-T}

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{int} := \frac{1}{\Omega_{\alpha 0}} \sum_{i \in \alpha} \left(-\phi_{\alpha} m_i \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i \otimes \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \alpha, j \neq i} \mathbf{f}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{ij} \right), \qquad \sigma_{\alpha}^{ext} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{\alpha}} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i \in \alpha, j \in \beta} \mathbf{f}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{\alpha i} \\ = \frac{1}{\det(\phi_{\alpha})\Omega_{\alpha 0}} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i \in \alpha, j \in \beta} \mathbf{f}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \phi_{\alpha}^T,$$

$$\text{Virial Stress}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{int} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{int}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{-T} \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} = det(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{ext} \cdot$$

The derivation is non-trivial: (Li and Tong [2015] **JAP**) It is the journey not the destination that matters.

and

(42)

$$\ddot{\mathbf{F}}_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \right) \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} \right).$$



obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}}\right) = \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \,.$$

Furthermore, since
$$\dot{\phi}_{\beta} = \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\beta} + \mathbf{F}_{\beta} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{\beta},$$

we can find that

$$\frac{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\beta}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{\beta}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i \in \alpha, j \in \beta} V'(r_{ij}) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} + \sum_{i \in \alpha} \mathbf{b}_{i}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{\alpha}$$

$$+\sum_{\beta}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{m}}{\partial \phi_{\beta}}\cdot\frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}}+\sum_{\beta}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\beta}}\cdot\frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}}$$

 $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha i} = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i},$ that if the length of S_i is not fixed, χ_{α} may not represent the shape tensor of the α th cell. Therefore, we must have the constraint condition,

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} = const,$$

which is a weaker condition than conditions (40) and (41). By utilizing above statistical conditions, we can convendown the Lagrangian of the atomic system as,

ient

 $\frac{d}{dt}$

If write down the constraint
$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} M_{\beta} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \mathbf{J}_{\beta}^{s} : \left(\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\beta}^{T} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\beta} \right)$$

+ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\beta} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i}$
- $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{i \in \beta, j \in \gamma} V(r_{ij}) + \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i \in \beta} \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}, \quad (43)$
- $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{i \in \beta, j \in \gamma} V(r_{ij}) + \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i \in \beta} \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}, \quad (43)$

where β , γ are cell inc

$$= M_{\alpha}\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{m}}{\partial \phi_{\beta}} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}} + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{\beta}} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}}$$

$MMMD \ Computational \ Algorithm \ (Li \ and \ Urata \ [2016] \ CMAME)$

$$\mathbf{G}_1 := \sum_i m_i \mathbf{S}_i; \quad \mathbf{G}_2 := \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^S(t) - \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^S(0), \text{ and } \mathbf{G}_3 := \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^S(t)) - \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^S(0))\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{I}^{(2)})$$

These constraints can be enforced by the Lagrangian multiplier method,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{*} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha 1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\alpha 1} - \lambda_{\alpha 2} : \mathbf{G}_{\alpha 2} - \lambda_{\alpha 3} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\alpha 3} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{m}^{*} = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{*} ,$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha 1}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha 3}$ are vector multipliers, and $\lambda_{\alpha 2}$ is a tensorial multiplier.

$$\mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c1)}(t+\Delta t) = 2\mathbf{S}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{S}_{i}(t-\Delta t) + \frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2m_{i}} \left(\mathbf{F}_{i}^{(c)} + \mathbf{F}_{i}^{(p)}\right)$$
(81)

$$\mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c2)}(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c1)}(t+\Delta t) - \Delta t^{2} \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{-1}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha 1}^{(c)}(\Delta t)$$
(82)

$$\mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c3)}(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c2)}(t+\Delta t) - \Delta t^{2} \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{-1}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha 2}^{(c)}(\Delta t) \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c2)}(t+\Delta t) , \qquad (83)$$

where the superscript "c" means the corrector phase, and the predicted velocity value is

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i}^{(c)} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{i}^{(p3)}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{S}_{i}(t)}{\Delta t} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{i}^{(c)} := -m_{i}\mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{i}^{(c)} + \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\sum_{\beta}\sum_{\alpha_{i} \neq \beta_{j}} \mathbf{f}_{ji}(\mathbf{S}_{i}^{(p3)}),$$

with the correction Lagrangian multipliers expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha 1}^{(c)} = \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}(t) \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{(c1)}}{(\Delta t)^{2} \left(\sum_{i} m_{i} \right)} \right), \quad \text{and}$$
(84)

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha 2}^{(c)} = \frac{1}{2(\Delta t)^2} \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}(t) \left\{ \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{S}_i^{(c2)} \otimes \mathbf{S}_i^{(c2)} - \mathbf{J}_{\alpha}^S(0) \right\} \left(\sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{S}_i^{(c2)} \otimes \mathbf{S}_i^{(c2)} \right)^{-1}.$$
(85)

III. Validation and Numerical Examples



 $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$ cells 108 atoms in each cell 2916 atoms in total.

Morse potential 350 K constant Nose-Hoover thermostat





Test of displacement boundary

(Tong and Li [2015] **JCP**)



Under uniaxial compression, the original FCC lattice of single crystal Nickel will go through structure change.^{22,23} The interaction between atoms is modeled by Morse potential, which is plotted in Fig. 5. It has the form of

$$\phi(r) = D(e^{-2\alpha(r-r_0)} - 2e^{-\alpha(r-r_0)}). \tag{85}$$

The interaction force is given by

$$F(r) = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}(r)}{\partial r} = 2D\alpha \left(-e^{-2\alpha(r-r_0)} + e^{-\alpha(r-r_0)}\right). \quad (86)$$

With the constants $D = 3.5059 \times 10^{-20}$ J, $\alpha = 8.766/a_0$, and $r_0 = 0.71727$ Å. a_0 denotes the constants of the FCC lattice of nickel, i.e., $a_0 = 3.52$ Å,²⁶



Displacement B.C.

Traction B.C.



Supercell $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27 \rightarrow 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$ unit cells $\rightarrow 2916$ atoms $4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64 \rightarrow 4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64$ unit cells $\rightarrow 16384$ atoms $5 \times 5 \times 5 = 125 \rightarrow 5 \times 5 \times 5 = 125$ unit cells $\rightarrow 62500$ atoms



Stress Distribution



Example 2. Phase Transformation of Nano-rod











(Tong and Li [2015] **EPL**)



Example 3. Phase Transformation of Iron

In this simulation, we adopt the Finnis-Sinclair Model [52] for material BCC iron. The potential energy of the Finnis-Sinclair Model (FSM) and the Embedded Atom Model (EAM) has the following general form:

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} V(r_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} F(\rho_i)$$
(5.3)

where $F(\rho_i)$ is a functional describing the energy of embedding an atom in background electron cloud, and it is defined as

$$\rho_i = \sum_{j \neq i}^N \rho(r_{ij}), \ \mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j, \ r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|$$
(5.4)

The Finnis-Sinclair potential is defined as

$$V(r_{ij}) = (r_{ij} - c)^2 (c_0 + c_1 r_{ij} + c_2 r_{ij}^2), \ \rho(r_{ij}) = (r_{ij} - d)^2 + \beta \frac{(r_{ij} - d)^3}{d}, \ F(\rho_i) = -A\sqrt{\rho_i},$$
(5.5)

with parameters $c_0, c_1, c_2, c, A, d, \text{and}\beta$ taken from [53]. Note that both c and d are cutoff distances.

(Li and Urata [2016] CMAME)



Prescribed displacement boundary condition



Prescribed displacement boundary condition



IV. Application: Coupling MD with Peridynamics



State-based Peridynamics





Let $t(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote a vector-valued function such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}) \qquad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

$$\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}, t) = \int_{H} \left\{ \mathbf{t}[\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}, t] < \mathbf{X}_{\beta} - \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} > -\mathbf{t}[\mathbf{x}_{\beta}, t] < \mathbf{X}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{X}_{\beta} > \right\} dV_{x_{\beta}} + \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}, t]$$

$$\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{L}_{\alpha} + \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}$$

Example: An one-dimensional wave propagation







Figure 5: Displacement at (a)t=2250 and (b)t=5000 with units of macroscale step size. No filter is placed in the transition zone.

(Tong and Li [2016] **JMPS**)

Example 4: A two-dimensional wave propagation

$$u(r,t=0) = \begin{cases} Ae^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}}(1+bcos(\frac{2\pi r}{H})) & r \le L_c \\ 0 & r > L_c \end{cases}$$







-200

-400

-600

-500

-200

-400

-600

-500

° X 500

Damage

0.9

0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0

Damage

0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0

500

Example: A two-dimensional crack propagation

0 X -200

-400

-600

-500

X

500



Coupling MD with FEM: Nanoindentation



- B.C. of indenter can be applied to cell centers.
- Local pressure in each cell can be analyzed.

Depth vs. Force



Conclusions

- 1. We have extended the equilibrium ensemble PR-MD to a non-equilibrium atomistic-to-continuum dynamics;
- 2. We have successfully embedded macroscale boundary conditions into a finite-size microscale molecular dynamics system instead of using periodic boundary condition;
- **3.** Based MMMD, we have developed the multiscale interface element to couple Molecular Dynamics with Peridynamics;
- 4. MMMD touches some basic fundamental concepts of continuum mechanics and multiscale simulations.

Coupling of MMMD-FEM

- To combine MMMD and FEM, position of cell centers r_{α} are connected to FEM nodes.
- It avoids "*Fully Refined Mesh*", at the boundary, because nodes are connected to coarse scale positions but not atoms



Algorithm

- i. Define intermediate nodes (**O**) to connect FEM & MD regions.
- ii. Force from FEM elements are considered as traction force T_k for boundary condition of MD cell centers.

$$\mathbf{M}_{lpha}\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{lpha}=-\sum_{eta
eqlpha}\mathbf{f}_{lphaeta}+\mathbf{T}_{k}$$

iii. Displacement of MD cell center Δr_{α} is utilized to estimate deformation gradient F of the boundary elements.



Traction force B.C. from FEM to celll center



Displacement B.C. from celll center to FEM node

Multiscale system



MD region

7 x 7 x 5 = 245 cells 64 *Silicon* atoms in each cell 15680 atoms in total Tersoff Potential for silicon

FEM region

14-14-5 extra elements around MD cells 1860 elements in FEM method with cubic crystal unit cel

Indenter

Spherical (20nmφ)& Vicker's indenters.*applied as displacement B.C. analytically*

Crystal phase under indenters



	Crystal	Bond [A]	Coord. No
	Surface/ Amorphous	2.35	<4
•	Si-I	2.35	4
•	bct-5	2.31	4
		2.44	1
	Si-III, XII	2.39	4
		3.2-3.4	1
•	Si-II	2.42	4
		2.57	2







Computer Implementation

Parallel Computation



References

1. Li, S. and Tong, Q., 2015, A concurrent multiscale micromorphic molecular dynamics, *Journal of Applied Physics*, **117**, 154303

2. Tong, Q. and Li, S., 2015. From molecular systems to continuum solids: A multiscale structure and dynamics, *The Journal of Chemical physics*, *143*(6), p.064101.

3. Tong, Q. and Li, S., 2015. A multiscale molecular dynamics allowing macroscale Mechanical loads. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, **110**(6), p.60005.

4. Li, S. and Urata, S., 2016. An atomistic-to-continuum molecular dynamics: Theory, algorithm, and applications. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, *311*, 452-478.

5. Tong, Q. and Li, S., 2016. Multiscale coupling of molecular dynamics and peridynamics, *Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, **95**, 169-187.