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## Overview: PCA and Sparse PCA

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a classical algorithm for dimensionality reduction, clustering etc.
- Sparse PCA is a very useful variant because of interpretability
- We present a new algorithm for Sparse PCA that is fast for large data sets.
- We present novel approximation guarantees.
- We test on a large twitter data set (millions of tweets).


## Tweets to vectors

Each tweet as a long (50K), super-sparse vector (5-10 non-zeros) with 1 s in word indices


## Data Sample Matrix

We collect all tweet vectors in a sample matrix of size $n \times m$
m tweets


## Correlation matrix

$$
A=S S^{T}
$$



## vanilla PCA

## $\arg \max x^{T} A x$ <br> $$
\|x\|_{2}=1
$$

Largest Eigenvector.
Maximizes ‘explained variance’ of the data set
Very useful for dimensionality reduction
Easy to compute

## PCA finds An `EigenTweet’

Finds a vector that closely matches most tweets

i.e, a vector that maximizes the sum of projections with each tweet

$$
\max \left\|\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{S}\right\|^{2}
$$

## The problem with PCA

- Top Eigenvector will be dense!

Dense =
A tweet with thousands of words
(makes no sense)

| Eurovision | 0.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protests | 0.02 |
| Greece | $\cdot$ |
| Morning | $\cdot$ |
| Deals | $\cdot$ |
| Engage |  |
| Offers |  |
| Uprising |  |
| Protest |  |
| Elections |  |
| teachers |  |
| Summer |  |
| support |  |
| Schools |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |
| Crisis |  |
| Earthquake |  |
| IMF | 0.001 |

## The problem with PCA

- Top Eigenvector will be dense!

Dense =
A tweet with thousands of words
(makes no sense)

| Eurovision | 0.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protests | 0.02 |
| Greece | $\cdot$ |
| Morning | $\cdot$ |
| Deals | $\cdot$ |
| Engage |  |
| Offers |  |
| Uprising |  |
| Protest |  |
| Elections |  |
| teachers |  |
| Summer |  |
| support |  |
| Schools |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |
| Crisis |  |
| Earthquake |  |
| IMF | 0.001 |

- We want super sparse

Sparse = Interpretable

## Sparse PCA

$$
x_{*}=\underset{\|x\|_{2}=1,\|x\|_{0}=k}{\arg \max } x^{T} A x .
$$
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Very few approximation guarantees ( Amini \& Wainwright 2008, Yuan \& Zhang 2011, d'Aspermont et al. 2012).

## Our result

We present a novel combinatorial algorithm for sparse PCA. Obtain general provable approximation guarantees.

$$
x_{*}=\underset{\|x\|_{2}=1,\|x\|_{0}=k}{\arg \max } x^{T} A x
$$

Theorem: For any desired accuracy parameter d, our Spannogram algorithm runs in time $O\left(n^{d}\right)$ and constructs a $k$-sparse vector $X_{d}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{d}^{T} A x_{d} \geq\left(1-\epsilon_{d}\right) x_{*}^{T} A x_{*} \\
& \epsilon_{d} \leq \min \left\{\frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{d+1}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\lambda_{d+1}}{\lambda_{1}^{(1)}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Cor1: If there is any decay in the eigenvalues, i.e. $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{d}$ then there exists a constant $\delta$ s.t. for all linear size supports $k>\delta n$ we obtain
a constant factor approximation to sparse PCA.
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Theorem: For any desired accuracy parameter d, our Spannogram algorithm runs in time $O\left(n^{d}\right)$ and constructs a $k$-sparse vector $X_{d}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{d}^{T} A x_{d} \geq\left(1-\epsilon_{d}\right) x_{*}^{T} A x_{*} \\
& \epsilon_{d} \leq \min \left\{\frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{d+1}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\lambda_{d+1}}{\lambda_{1}^{(1)}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cor2: If there is a power law decay in the eigenvalues:

$$
\lambda_{i}=C i^{-\alpha}
$$

Then for any $\varepsilon$ we can approximate Sparse PCA within a factor of $\varepsilon$ in time polynomial in $n, k$
(but not in $1 / \varepsilon$ ) (PTAS approximation guarantees)

## how it works

- 1. Approximate $A$ by best rank $d$ approximation $A_{d}(S V D)$
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- 1. Approximate $A$ by best rank $d$ approximation $A_{d}$ (SVD)
- 2. Use $A_{d}$ to obtain $n^{d}$ candidate supports (Spannogram)
- 3. Try $n^{d}$ candidate supports on $A$ and choose the best one.
- 4. Prove approximation guarantees
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## how it works for Rank d

If we knew the support of the sparse PC, it's easy.
(Zero out everything except $\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}$ submatrix of A , find largest eigenvector of that).

We can naively solve sparse PCA by testing all (n choose k) supports.
Key lemma: If the matrix is rank d , only O ( n choose d ) supports must be tested.

## Rank $d=1$

Say $d=1$, i.e. $A_{d}$ is rank 1.
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\begin{gathered}
A=\lambda_{1} v_{1} v_{1}^{T} \\
x^{T} A x=\lambda_{1} x^{T} v_{1} v_{1}^{T} x=\lambda_{1}\left(v_{1}^{T} x\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Q:find a k -sparse vector that maximizes the inner product with a given vector v 1 .

Sort the absolute entries of v 1 and keep the k largest.
Thresholding the largest eigenvector is a well-known heuristic for sparse PCA which is optimal when $A$ is rank 1.

There is one candidate top-k support, the support of the $k$ largest entries of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$
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We only need to find the support of the top $k$ elements of $v_{c}$ How many top-k supports can there be in a two dimensional subspace? ( n choose k)?
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$$
4\binom{n}{2}
$$

## key combinatorial fact (2 dimensions)

$$
v_{c}=c_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+c_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}
$$

Use spherical variable transformation

$$
\mathbf{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$
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## key combinatorial fact (2 dimensions)

$$
v_{c}=c_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+c_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}
$$

Use spherical variable transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{c}=[\sin \phi \cos \phi]^{T} \\
v_{c}=\left[\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right] \mathbf{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(1) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(1) \cos (\phi) \\
\vdots \\
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\end{gathered}
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$$
n=5, k=3
$$

$$
\text { Top k set: }\{2,5,1\}
$$

## The Spannogram

$$
\mathbf{v}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{c}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(1) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(1) \cos (\phi) \\
\vdots \\
v_{1}(n) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(n) \cos (\phi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Each element is a continuous curve in $\phi$

$n=5, k=3$
Top k set: $\{5,2,1\}$


## The Spannogram

- Lets count top-k sets.

- n lines
- every pair of lines intersects in exactly 2 points.
$2\binom{n}{2}$ Intersection points


## general Rank d

$$
v_{c}=c_{1} v_{1}+c_{2} v_{2}+\ldots c_{d} v_{d}
$$

How many top-k supports can there be in a d-dimensional subspace of $R^{n}$

Theorem: There are at most

$$
2^{d-1}\binom{d}{\lceil d / 2\rceil}\binom{ n}{d}
$$

top k -sets in a general position d-dimensional subspace.

## general Rank d

$$
v_{c}=c_{1} v_{1}+c_{2} v_{2}+\ldots c_{d} v_{d}
$$

How many top-k supports can there be in a d-dimensional subspace of $R^{n}$ ?
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{d}\right)$ and the spannogram algorithm constructs them explicitly.


## Experiments

|  | *japan | $1-5$ May 2011 | May 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m \times n$ <br> $k$ | $12 \mathrm{k} \times 15 \mathrm{k}$ | $267 \mathrm{k} \times 148 \mathrm{k}$ | 1.9 mil $\times 222 \mathrm{k}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=10$ | $k=4$ | $k=5$ |  |
| $\# \mathrm{PCs}$ | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| Rank-1 | 0.600 | 0.815 | 0.885 |
| TPower | 0.595 | 0.869 | 0.915 |
| Rank-2 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 0}$ | 0.934 | 0.885 |
| Rank-3 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 4}$ |
| FullPath | 0.935 | 0.886 | 0.953 |

3 experiments on a large-twitter data set.
(1.9M Tweets total over a few months).

## Experiments (5 days in May 2011)

$k=10$, top 4 sparse PCs for the data set ( 65,000 tweets)

```
skype, microsoft, acquisition, billion, acquired, acquires, buy, dollars, acquire, google
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love received greek know damon amazing hate twitter great sweet
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## Experiments (5 days in May 2011)

## k=10, top 4 sparse PCs for the data set (65,000 tweets)

```
skype, microsoft, acquisition, billion, acquired, acquires, buy, dollars, acquire, google
eurovision greece lucas finals final stereo semifinal contest greek watching
love received greek know damon amazing hate twitter great sweet
downtown athens murder years brutal stabbed incident camera year crime
FullPath:
eurovision finals greek greece lucas semifinal final contest stereo watching
love received damon greek hate know amazing sweet great songs
skype microsoft billion acquisition acquires acquired buying dollars official google
Twitter facebook welcome account good followers census population home starts
```

Tpower:
greece greece love loukas finals athens final stereo country sailing
Rank1:
greece love lucas finals greek athens finals stereo country camera

## Feature elimination

$$
\mathbf{v}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{c}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(1) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(1) \cos (\phi) \\
\vdots \\
v_{1}(n) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(n) \cos (\phi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Each element is a continuous curve in $\phi$


Red line has no hope of being in a top-k set for $k=2$.
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## Conclusions

- We presented a novel combinatorial algorithm for Sparse PCA
- Constant factor approximation for any reasonable matrix
- Arbitrary approximation for power-law decay
- General spectral bound
- Empirically outperfoms previous state of the art
- Parallel Mapreduce implementation?
fin


## The Spanogram

- Lets revisit the "variable vector"

$$
\mathbf{v}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{c}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(1) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(1) \cos (\phi) \\
\vdots \\
v_{1}(n) \sin (\phi)+v_{2}(n) \cos (\phi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Each element is a continuous curve in $\phi$



## Rank-2 Approximation

- Rank-2 Approximation $\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T}+\mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T}$
- The Sparse PC is

$$
\underset{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=1,\|\mathbf{x}\|_{0}=K}{\arg \max }\left\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}\right\|
$$

- How to unlock the "low-rank-ness"? The key is a polar vector

$$
\mathbf{c}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sin \phi \\
\cos \phi
\end{array}\right]
$$

- From the Cauchy Swartz Inequality we obtain


$$
\left|\mathbf{c}^{T}(\phi)\left[\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right] \mathbf{x}\right| \leq \quad\left\|\left[\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right] \mathbf{x}\right\|
$$

- Colinear polar vector achieves "="


## Rank-2 Approximation

- The sparseX of pai $(\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ that maximizes the left, maximizes the right:

$$
\left|\mathbf{c}^{T}(\phi)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}\right| \leq\left\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}\right\|
$$

The sparse PC is associated with a polar vector that gives equality.

- So,

$$
{\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\max }\left\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}\right\|=\max _{\phi} \max _{\mathbf{x}}\left|\mathbf{c}(\phi)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}\right|
$$

## Q: What happens if we fix the angle?

