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The operator $\wedge$ is an elliptic self-adjoint $\Psi$ do of order 1 , with principal symbol $|\xi|$. It follows that
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Equivalent problem
Maximize $\tilde{\sigma}_{k}(\Omega)$ among all regular domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## Variational characterization of $\sigma_{k}$
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## Observation

The infimum of $\sigma_{k}(\Omega)$ among domains with $|\partial \Omega|=1$ is zero.
This is related to loss of compactness for the trace map

$$
H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\partial \Omega)
$$

Channels, cusps,.

## Physical interpretation in two dimension

The non homogeneous Neumann spectral problem with density $0<\rho \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is

$$
-\Delta u=\mu \rho u \text { in } \Omega, \quad \partial_{n} u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

$$
0=\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}(\rho) \leq \mu_{2}(\rho) \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty
$$

## Physical interpretation in two dimension

The non homogeneous Neumann spectral problem with density $0<\rho \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is

$$
-\Delta u=\mu \rho u \text { in } \Omega, \quad \partial_{n} u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

$$
0=\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}(\rho) \leq \mu_{2}(\rho) \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty
$$

These are characterized using the Rayleigh quotient

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} f^{2} \rho d x}
$$

## Physical interpretation in two dimension

The non homogeneous Neumann spectral problem with density $0<\rho \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is

$$
-\Delta u=\mu \rho u \text { in } \Omega, \quad \partial_{n} u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

$$
0=\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}(\rho) \leq \mu_{2}(\rho) \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty
$$

These are characterized using the Rayleigh quotient

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} f^{2} \rho d x}
$$

If $\rho_{n} d x \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} d S$, then for $f \in H^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} f^{2} \rho_{n} d x}=\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int f^{2} d S}
$$

## Physical interpretation in two dimension

The non homogeneous Neumann spectral problem with density $0<\rho \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is

$$
-\Delta u=\mu \rho u \text { in } \Omega, \quad \partial_{n} u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

$$
0=\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}(\rho) \leq \mu_{2}(\rho) \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty
$$

These are characterized using the Rayleigh quotient

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} f^{2} \rho d x}
$$

If $\rho_{n} d x \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} d S$, then for $f \in H^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} f^{2} \rho_{n} d x}=\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{2} d x}{\int f^{2} d S}
$$

One can think of the Steklov problem as a free membrane with its mass uniformly distributed along its boundary.
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Simple-connectedness is not merely a technical assumption!
What can we say for multiply connected domains?

Normalized eigenvalues of $A_{\epsilon}$


## Higher eigenvalues for simply connected domains

Hersch-Payne-Schifer, 1974.
If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is simply connected, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\sigma_{k}(\Omega)|\partial \Omega| \leq k \sigma_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \mid \partial \mathbb{D}=2 k \pi .
$$

## Higher eigenvalues for simply connected domains

Hersch-Payne-Schifer, 1974.
If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is simply connected, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\sigma_{k}(\Omega)|\partial \Omega| \leq k \sigma_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \mid \partial \mathbb{D}=2 k \pi .
$$

G.-Polterovich, 2010.

This inequality is sharp, and attained in the limit by a family of domains $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ degenerating to $k$ disjoint identical disks.


This contrasts with Neumann eigenvalues. . .
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## Fraser-Schoen, 2011

For $I=2$ and $\gamma=0$, the maximum of $\sigma_{1}(\Omega)|\partial \Omega|$ is attained at the critical catenoid. ( $\max \approx 4 \pi / 1.2$ )
Also, not sharp for large $/$.
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## Ongoing project with Bruno Colbois

There exists a sequence $\Omega_{n}$ of surfaces such that

$$
\sigma_{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\left|\partial \Omega_{n}\right| \nearrow \infty
$$

(In this situation, the genus will have to diverge.)

Thank you for your attention!

