

On Mahler's method.

Federico Pellarin, University of Saint-Etienne, France

Banff, 2009-9-30

After a paper of Mahler (1921)

Mahler's method is a technique to show the **transcendence** of values at algebraic complex numbers of transcendental solutions $f(x) \in L[[x]]$ of

$$f(x^d) = R(x, f(x)), \quad d > 1, \quad R \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$$

The interest of the method is that it can be modified to produce **algebraic independence**

Example

Let us consider the formal series:

$$f(x) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2^n}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]],$$

converging in the open unit ball $B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$ to an analytic function.

$$f(x^2) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - x}.$$

f is transcendental over $\mathbb{C}(x)$.

Pólya-Carlson (1921): $f \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ converging in $B(0, 1)$ is either rational or transcendental

- f is irrational because of the functional equation



$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{a_n} x^n$$

with $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the Thue-Morse sequence, is irrational because Thue-Morse sequence is known to be not ultimately periodic

Riemann-Hurwitz: if f is algebraic then f must be rational

Following Mahler's paper of 1929,

$f(\alpha)$ is transcendental for α algebraic, with $0 < |\alpha| < 1$.

Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a number field.

Absolute logarithmic height of $(\alpha_0 : \cdots : \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{P}_n(L)$:

$$h(\alpha_0 : \cdots : \alpha_n) = \frac{1}{[L : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in M_L} d_v \log \max\{|\alpha_0|_v, \dots, |\alpha_n|_v\}.$$

$|\cdot|_v$ chosen so that *product formula* holds:

$$\prod_{v \in M_L} |\alpha|_v^{d_v} = 1, \quad \alpha \in L^\times.$$

If $n = 1$ we also write $h(\alpha) := h(1 : \alpha)$.

For all $N \geq 0$, choose $P_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ non-zero of partial degrees $\leq N$, such that

$$F_N(x) := P_N(x, f(x)) = cx^{\nu(N)} + \dots \quad (\text{auxiliary function})$$

with $\nu(N) \geq N^2$.

For all n big enough depending on N and α, f ,

$$-\infty < \log |F_N(\alpha^{2^{n+1}})| \leq c_1 \nu(N) 2^{n+1} \log |\alpha|.$$

Let us suppose by contradiction that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, f(\alpha)) \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$.

$$F_N(\alpha^{2^{n+1}}) = P_N \left(\alpha^{2^{n+1}}, \frac{f(\alpha)}{(1-\alpha) \cdots (1-\alpha^{2^n})} \right) \in L$$

$$d = [L : \mathbb{Q}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log |F_N(\alpha^{2^{n+1}})| &\geq \\ &\geq -d(L(P_N) + Nh(\alpha^{2^{n+1}}) + Nh(f(\alpha)/(1-\alpha)\cdots(1-\alpha^{2^n}))) \\ &\geq -d(L(P_N) + N2^{n+1}h(\alpha) + Nh(f(\alpha)) + \sum_{i=0}^n h(1-\alpha^{2^i})) \\ &\geq -d(L(P_N) + 2N2^{n+1}h(\alpha) + Nh(f(\alpha)) + (n+1)\log 2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, dividing by $2^{n+1}N$,

$$c_2 N \log |\alpha| \geq -2dh(\alpha).$$

A good choice of N yields a contradiction.

For example, to prove that $f(1/2) \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$, it suffices to consider the polynomial

$$P(X, Y) = 2X^2 + XY + Y - 1$$

similarly, $f(2/3) \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ is proved with

$$P = X^2Y^2 - 4X + 8X^2 + 4Y + 8XY - 12X^2Y - 3Y^2 - 6XY^2 - 1$$

Variant in positive characteristic

$$q = p^e, \quad A = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta], \quad K = \mathbb{F}_q(\theta), \quad K^{\text{alg.}}, \quad |\cdot|, \quad K_\infty, \quad \mathbb{C}_\infty$$

Consider the power series

$$\Pi(u) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \theta u^{q^n}),$$

which converges for $u \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ such that $|u| < 1$ and satisfies the functional equation:

$$\Pi(u^q) = \frac{\Pi(u)}{1 - \theta u^q}.$$

For $q = 2$, we notice that $\Pi(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^{b_n} u^{2^n}$, where

$$(b_n)_{n \geq 0} = 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2, \dots$$

is the sequence with b_n which counts the number of 1's in the binary expansion of n

Π is transcendental over $\mathbb{C}_\infty(x)$

- Because it has infinitely many zeroes
- By a criterion of Sharif and Woodcock generalising part of Christol's theorem (exercise, using that b has infinite image)

For α algebraic over K with $0 < |\alpha| < 1$, $\Pi(\alpha)$ is transcendental over K

“Same” proof as before

- Construction of an auxiliary function with multiplicity in 0
- Extrapolation on $\{\alpha^{q^n}\}$
- Absolute logarithmic height $h : \mathbb{P}_n(K^{\text{alg.}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
- An analogue of Liouville's inequality

More generally, assume that we are in one of the following two cases.

- $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$, $|\cdot|$ archimedean absolute value
- $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}_\infty$, $\mathcal{Q} = K$, $|\cdot|$ ultrametric absolute value $|\theta| = q$

L finite extension of \mathcal{Q}

Let $f \in L[[x]]$, $R \in L(X, Y)$, $h_Y(R) < d$ and $\alpha \in L$ be such that:

- f is transcendental over $\mathcal{C}(x)$
- f converges for $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $|x| < 1$
- There exists $d > 1$ such that $f(x^d) = R(x, f(x))$

Then, for all $n \gg 0$, $f(\alpha^{d^n}) \in \mathcal{C}$ is transcendental over \mathcal{Q} .

Application (Denis, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}_\infty$): the following “number” is transcendental

$$\tilde{\pi} = \theta(-\theta)^{1/(q-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \theta^{1-q^i})^{-1} = \theta(-\theta)^{1/(q-1)} \Pi(\theta^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$(\alpha = \theta^{-1})$$

A result of Corvaja and Zannier 2002 (deduced from Schmidt's Subspace Theorem).

L number field

- $f \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}[[x]]$ not a polynomial
- $\alpha \in L$
- S a finite set of places containing the archimedean ones
- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ an infinite set
- $f(\alpha^n) \in L$ is an S -integer for all $n \in \mathcal{A}$

Then,

$$\liminf_{n \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{h(f(\alpha^n))}{n} = \infty$$

Application with $\mathcal{A} = \{d, d^2, d^3, \dots\}$:

- $f \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg.}}[[x]]$
- f not a polynomial
- $f(x^d) = R(x, f(x))$ with $R \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg.}}(X, Y)$
- $\deg_Y R < d$

Then, $f(\alpha^{d^n})$ is transcendental for α algebraic and for all $n \gg 0$

Algebraic independence (Loxton-van der Poorten, Denis, ...)

L finite extension of \mathbb{Q}

Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L[[x]]$ and $\alpha \in L$, $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ be such that:

- f_1, \dots, f_m are algebraically independent over $\mathcal{C}(x)$
- f_i converges for $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $|x| < 1$ for all i
- There exists $d > 1$ such that $f_i(x^d) = a_i(x)f_i(x) + b_i(x)$ with $a_i, b_i \in L(x)$ for all i

Then, for $n \gg 0$, $f_1(\alpha^{d^n}), \dots, f_m(\alpha^{d^n}) \in \mathcal{C}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} .

- If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$, Loxton-van der Poorten (1977), generalised by Nishioka, Becker, Töpfer, . . .
- In both cases $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}_\infty$, it can be deduced from a criterion of Philippon (1992).
- Denis (2000) used this criterion in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

More is true when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$ (Philippon)

Let

- $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L[[x]]$
- $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Mat}_{n \times n}(L(x)), \quad \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Mat}_{n \times 1}(L(x))$
- $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

be such that:

- f_1, \dots, f_m are algebraically independent
- f_i converges for $x \in \mathcal{C}, |x| < 1$
- $\underline{f}(x^d) = \mathcal{A}(x) \cdot \underline{f}(x) + \mathcal{B}(x)$

Then, for $n \gg 0$, $\alpha, f_1(\alpha^{d^n}), \dots, f_m(\alpha^{d^n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ generate a subfield of \mathbb{C} of transcendence degree $\geq m$.

Uses:

- A criterion for algebraic independence by Philippon (1998)
- Construction at $x = 0$ with Siegel's lemma and extrapolation on $\{\alpha^{d^n}\}$
- A multiplicity estimate by Nishioka (1990).

Some results with $C = \mathbb{C}_\infty$ (by Denis method)

- $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in K$. $\log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\beta_i)$ K -linearly independent \Rightarrow algebraically independent
- The first $p - 1$ "divided derivatives" of $\tilde{\pi}$ are algebraically independent
- $\tilde{\pi}$ and "odd" values of Carlitz-Goss zeta function are algebraically independent
- Various $\tilde{\pi}$'s are algebraically independent

Denis deformation of Carlitz's logarithms ($\alpha = \theta$)

$\beta = \beta(\theta) \in K$, $|\beta| < q^{q/(q-1)}$.

$$F_\beta(x) = \beta(x) + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \frac{\beta(x^{q^n})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (x^{q^j} - \theta)}$$

- 1 converges for $|x| > q^{1/q}$
- 2 $\log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\beta) = F_\beta(\theta)$,
- 3 $F_\beta(x^q) = (\theta - x^q)(F_\beta(x) - \beta(x))$,
- 4 $F_{\beta_1 + \beta_2} = F_{\beta_1} + F_{\beta_2}$,
- 5 $F_{\Phi_{\text{Carlitz}}(\theta)\beta}(x) = \theta F_\beta(x) + (x - \theta)\beta(x)$

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in K, |\beta_i| < q^{q/(q-1)}$$

$$f_1 := F_{\beta_1}, \dots, f_m := F_{\beta_m}$$

$$a = \theta - x^q$$

$$b_i = -\frac{\beta_i(x)}{\theta - x^q}$$

If f_1, \dots, f_m are algebraically dependent over $K^{\text{alg.}}(x)$, then, there is a non-trivial linear dependence relation

$$c_1 f_1 + \dots + c_m f_m + c_0 = 0$$

with

- $c_1, \dots, c_m \in K^{\text{alg.}}$
- $c_0 \in K^{\text{alg.}}(x)$, $c_0(x^q) = a c_0(x) - \sum_i c_i b_i$

- $\beta_1 = \text{root of } X^q - X - \theta = 0$
- $\beta_2 = \theta$

$\log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\beta_1), \log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\beta_2)$ are algebraically independent (after Papanikolas Theorem).

Is it possible to prove it with Mahler's method?

Probably not with $\alpha = \theta$ but it can be done with $\alpha = \beta_1$

Define, for $\beta \in K$,

$$\tilde{F}_\beta(x) = \beta(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\beta(x^{q^n})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (x^{q^{j+1}} - x^{q^j} - \theta)}$$

We have:

- 1 $\tilde{F}_\beta(x^q) = (\theta - x^{q^2} + x^q)(\tilde{F}_\beta(x) - \beta(x))$
- 2 $\tilde{F}_{(\theta^q - \theta)\beta + \beta^q}(x) = \theta \tilde{F}_\beta(x) + (x^q - x - \theta)\beta(x)$
- 3 $\tilde{F}_\beta(\alpha) = \log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\beta(\alpha))$

In particular

- $\tilde{F}_\theta(\alpha) = \log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\alpha)$
- $\tilde{F}_{\theta^q - \theta}(\alpha) = \log_{\text{Carlitz}}(\theta)$

Mahler's method gives measures of algebraic independence:

$$Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m] \setminus \{0\}$$

- $\deg Q \leq D,$

- $H(Q) \leq H$

$$|Q(f_1(\alpha), \dots, f_m(\alpha))| \geq \exp\{-c_1 D^m (D^{m+2} + \log H)\}$$

(Töpfer, 1995)

Recent result by Denis:

$$|Q(\tilde{\pi})| \geq \exp\{-c_2 D^4(D + \log H)\}$$

(extends to Carlitz's logarithms of rationals and to certain ζ -values)

Mahler's method (for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$) extends to:

- Functions of several variables, linear functional equations (Loxton-van der Poorten, Nishioka, . . .)
- Non-linear functional equations: $P(x, f(x), f(x^d)) = 0$ (Greuel, 2000)