

Long range dependence for random functions with infinite variance

Joint work with R. Kulik (U Ottawa)

Evgeny Spodarev | Institute of Stochastics | 20. 06. 2018

"Self-Similarity, Long-range dependence and Extremes"
BIRS-CMO Workshop, Oaxaca, Mexico

Overview

- Introduction
- Various approaches to define the memory of random **functions**
- Short/long memory for random functions with infinite variance
 - \blacktriangleright ψ -mixing random functions
 - Random volatility models
- Long memory as a phase transition: limit theorems for functionals of random volatility fields
- Open problems
- Literature

Introduction: Random functions with long memory

Random function = Set of random variables indexed by $t \in T$.

Let $X = \{X_t, t \in T\}$ be a wide sense stationary random function defined on an abstract probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , e.g.,

 $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, d > 1. The property of long range dependence (LRD) can be defined as

$$\int_{T} |C(t)| dt = +\infty$$

where $C(t) = \text{cov}(X_0, X_t), t \in T$ (McLeod, Hipel (1978); Parzen (1981)). Sometimes one requires that $C \in RV(-a)$, i.e., $\exists a \in (0, d)$ such that

$$C(t) = \frac{L(t)}{|t|^a}, \quad |t| \to +\infty,$$

where $L(\cdot)$ is a slowly varying function.

Various approaches to define LRD

- Unbounded spectral density at zero.
- Growth order of sums' variance going to infinity.
- Phase transition in certain parameters of the field (stability index, Hurst index, heaviness of the tails, etc.) regarding the different limiting behaviour of some statistics such as
 - Partial sums
 - Partial maxima.

These approaches are not equivalent, often statistically not tractable and tailored for a particular class of random functions (e.g., time series, square integrable, stable, etc.)

Various approaches to define LRD

LRD for heavy tailed random fields:

- Phase transitions in the limiting behaviour of partial sums and maxima of inf. divisible random processes and their ergodic properties (Samorodnitsky 2004, Samorodnitsky & Roy 2008, Roy 2010).
- \sim a-spectral covariance approach for linear random fields with innovations lying in the domain of attraction of α -stable law (Paulauskas (2016), Damarackas, Paulauskas (2017))

LRD: Infinite variance case

For a stationary random function X with $E X_t^2 = +\infty$ introduce

$$\operatorname{cov}_X(t, u, v) = \operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbb{1}(X_0 > u), \mathbb{1}(X_t > v)\right), \quad t \in T, x, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is always defined as the indicators involved are bounded functions.

A random function X is called LRD (SRD, resp.) if

$$\int\limits_{T}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\operatorname{cov}_{X}(t,u,v)\right|\operatorname{d}\!u\operatorname{d}\!v\operatorname{d}\!t=+\infty\qquad(<+\infty).$$

For discrete parameter random fields (say, if $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$), the $\int_{\mathcal{T}} dt$ in the above line should be replaced by a $\sum_{t \in T \cdot t \neq 0}$.

Motivation

Assume that X is wide sense stationary with covariance function $C(t) = \text{cov}(X_0, X_t)$, $t \in T$, and moreover,

$$cov_X(t, u, v) \ge 0$$
 or ≤ 0 for all $t \in T$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Examples of X with this property are all **PA** or **NA**- random functions. W. Hoeffding (1940) proved that

$$C(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{cov}_X(t, u, v) \, du \, dv. \tag{1}$$

Then, X is long range dependent if

$$\int\limits_T |C(t)|\,dt = \int\limits_T \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathsf{cov}_X(t,u,v)|\,du\,dv\,dt = +\infty.$$

Level (excursion) sets and their volumes:

Let $a_n(u) = \nu_d(A_u(X, W_n))$ be the volume of the excursion set

$$A_{u}\left(X,W_{n}\right)=\left\{ t\in T\cap W_{n}:X_{t}>u\right\}$$

of a random field X at level u in an observation window $W_n = n \cdot W$ where $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex body.

Multivariate CLT for level sets' volumes (Bulinski, S.,

Timmermann, Karcher, 2012):

For a stationary centered weakly dependent random field X satisfying some additional conditions (square integrable, α - or max-stable, inf. divisible) we have for any levels $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\frac{\left(a_{n}(u),a_{n}(v)\right)^{\top}-\left(P(X_{0}\geq u),P(X_{0}\geq v)\right)^{\top}\cdot\nu_{d}(\textit{W}_{n})}{\sqrt{\nu_{d}\left(\textit{W}_{n}\right)}}\overset{d}{\rightarrow}\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{o},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right)$$

as
$$n \to \infty$$
. Here $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ with $\sigma_{12} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{cov}_X(t, u, v) dt$.

So, $a_n(u) = \nu_d(A_u(X, W_n))$ is the right statistic to study!

The new definition is statistically feasible and easy to check. Notice that

$$\int\limits_{T}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\operatorname{cov}_{X}(t,u,v)\right|du\,dv\,dt=$$

$$\int\limits_{T}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|F_{X_{0},X_{t}}(u,v)-F_{X}(u)F_{X}(v)\right|du\,dv\,dt.$$

where the bivariate d.f. $F_{X_0,X_t}(u,v) = P(X_0 \le u, X_t \le v)$ and marginal d.f. $F_X(u) = P(X_0 \le u)$ can be estimated from the data by their empirical counterparts.

For a stationary centered Gaussian random field X with Var $X_0 = 1$ and correlation function $\rho(t)$ we have (Bulinski, S., Timmermann, 2012)

$$\operatorname{cov}_X(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\rho(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2 - 2ruv + v^2}{2(1 - r^2)}\right\} dr.$$

Ψ-Mixing

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be two sub- σ -algebras of A. Ψ -mixing coefficient:

$$\Psi(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}) = \sup\left\{\left|1 - \frac{P(U \cap V)}{P(U)P(V)}\right|; \ U \in \mathcal{U}, \ P(U) \neq 0, \ V \in \mathcal{V}, \ P(V) \neq 0\right\}.$$

Let $X = \{X_t, t \in T\}$ be a random function, and T be a normed space with distance d. Let $X_C = \{X_t, t \in C\}, C \subset T$, and \mathcal{X}_C be the σ -algebra generated by X_C . If |C| is the cardinality of C for C finite set

$$\Psi_X(k, u, v) = \sup \{ \Psi(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}) : \quad d(A, B) \ge k, \ |A| \le u, |B| \le v \},$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and d(A, B) is the distance between subsets A and B.

Subordinated non-Gaussian random functions: SRD and mixing

Theorem (Kulik, S. 2017)

Let process $Y = \{Y_t, t \in T\}$ be a stationary process with Ψ -mixing rate satisfying $\int_T \Psi_Y(\|t\|, 1, 1) dt < +\infty$. Let $X_t = g(|Y_t|), t \in T$, where $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\mathsf{E} X_0 < +\infty$. Then X is SRD with

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|cov_X(t,u,v)|\ du\ dv\ dt\leq \int_{\mathcal{T}}\Psi_Y(\|t\|,1,1)\ dt\cdot (\mathsf{E}\, X_0)^2<+\infty.$$

Let the random field $X = \{X_t, t \in T\}$ be given by

$$X_t = F(Y_t)Z_t$$

where $Y = \{Y_t, t \in T\}$ and $Z = \{Z_t, t \in T\}$ are independent stationary random fields, Z has property

$$cov_Z(t, u, v) \ge 0$$
 or ≤ 0 for all $t \in T$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\pm}$ and $P(F(Y_t) = 0) = 0$ for all $t \in T$. $F(Y_t)$ is called a random volatility (being a deterministic function of a random (often LRD) field $Y = \{Y_t, t \in T\}$) scaling a heavy tailed random field $Z = \{Z_t, t \in T\}$.

Theorem (Kulik, S. 2017)

A random volatility model $X = \{X_t, t \in T\}$ is LRD if one of the following holds:

- (i) Y is a white noise, $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\text{cov}_Z(t,u,v)| \, du \, dv > 0$ for a set of $t \in T$ with positive Lebesgue measure and either $\mathsf{E}|F(Y_0)| = +\infty$ or $\mathsf{E}|F(Y_0)| \in (0,+\infty)$, Z LRD.
- $\text{(ii)} \ \int\limits_{T}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2}\text{cov}\left(\bar{F}_Z\big(u/F(Y_0)\big),\bar{F}_Z\big(v/F(Y_t)\big)\right)\ \textit{du dv dt}=\pm\infty.$
- (iii) $\mathsf{E}(F(Y_0)F(Y_t)) = +\infty$, $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathsf{cov}_Z(t,u,v)| \, du \, dv > 0$ for a set of $t \in T$ with positive Lebesgue measure and

Theorem (Continuation)

(iii)

$$\int\limits_T\int\limits_{\mathbb{D}^2}\operatorname{cov}\big(\bar{F}_Z\big(u/F(Y_0)\big),\bar{F}_Z\big(v/F(Y_t)\big)\big)\,\,du\,dv\,dt>-\infty(<+\infty).$$

(iv) $E(F(Y_0)F(Y_t)) < +\infty$ for all $t \in T$ and the above holds together with

$$\int\limits_T \mathsf{E}\left(F(Y_0)F(Y_t)\right)\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathsf{cov}_Z(t,u,v)\, du\, dv\, dt = \pm\infty.$$

In all above equations, \pm is taken with the same sign as $cov_{z}(t, u, v)$.

Corollary

For the random field X with $X_t = AZ_t$, $t \in T$ assume that A > 0 a.s., A and Z are independent and Z is stationary. Then X is LRD if one of the following holds:

- 1. Z is a white noise and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{cov}\left(\bar{F}_Z(u/A), \bar{F}_Z(v/A)\right) \ du \ dv \neq 0.$
- 2. $Z \in \mathbf{PA}(\mathbf{NA})$ is not a white noise, Z_0 is symmetric, and $EA^2 = +\infty$.

Examples

▶ In Case 1) of the above corollary, it holds

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{\mathsf{cov}} \left(ar{\mathsf{F}}_{\!Z} \! \left(u/\mathsf{A} \right), ar{\mathsf{F}}_{\!Z} \! \left(v/\mathsf{A} \right)
ight) \mathsf{d} u \, \mathsf{d} v = + \infty$$

if e.g. $Z_0 \sim \mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$, $A \sim \mathsf{Frechet}(1)$ for any $\lambda > 0$.

Case 2) of the above corollary clearly applies to a subgaussian random function *X* where $A = \sqrt{B}$, $B \sim S_{\alpha/2}\left(\left(\cos rac{\pi lpha}{4}
ight)^{2/lpha}, 1, 0
ight), \, lpha \in (0, 2), \, ext{and} \, \, Z ext{ is a}$ centered stationary Gaussian random field with covariance function $C(t) \ge 0 \ (\le 0)$ for all $t \in T$. Here Z does not need to be LRD but there should exist $t \neq 0$ such that $C(t) \neq 0$.



Let X be a measurable real-valued random field on \mathbb{R}^d , d > 1and let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable subset. Let

$$A_{u}\left(X,W\right):=\left\{ t\in W:X\left(t\right)\geq u\right\}$$

be the excursion set of X in W over the level $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Asymptotic (non)Gaussian behavior of $\nu_d(A_\mu(X,W))$ as W expands to \mathbb{R}^d ?

Prove a more general limit theorem for integrals $\int_{W} g(X_t) dt$ of functionals q of X!



Let X be a random volatility field of the form

$$X_t = G(Y_t)Z_t, \quad t \in T = \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where

- $ightharpoonup \{G(Y_t), t \in T\}$ is a subordinated Gaussian random field,
- ▶ $\{Z_t, t \in T\}$ is a white noise,
- ▶ the random fields Y and Z are independent.

Let $W_n = n \cdot W$, $W \in \mathcal{K}^d$, $\nu_d(W) > 0$, $o \in W$, and g be a real valued function such that $E[g(X_0)] = 0$, $E[g^2(X_0)] > 0$. Introduce the function

$$\xi(y) = \mathsf{E}[g(G(y)Z_0)] \ .$$

It follows that $\xi(y) < \infty$ for ν_1 –a. e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathsf{E}[\xi(Y_0)] = 0$.

Furthermore, set

$$m(y, Z_t) = g(G(y)Z_t) - \xi(y), \quad \chi(y) = \mathbb{E}[m^2(y, Z_0)].$$

Assume that

- ▶ rank $(\xi) = q$, $E[|q(X_0)|^2] < \infty$, $E[\chi^3(Y_0)] < \infty$.
- Y is a homogeneous isotropic centered Gaussian random field with the covariance function $\rho(t) = E[Y_0 Y_t] = |t|^{-\eta} L(|t|), \, \eta \in (0, d/q) \text{ and } L \text{ is slowly}$ varying at infinity.
- \triangleright Y has a spectral density $f(\lambda)$ which is continuous for all $\lambda \neq 0$ and decreasing in a neighborhood of 0.

Theorem (Kulik, S. 2017)

1. If $\xi(y) \equiv 0$ then

$$n^{-d/2} \int_{W_0} g(X_t) dt \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad n \to +\infty,$$
 (2)

where
$$\sigma^2 = E[g^2(X_0)]\nu_d(W) > 0$$
.

2. If $\xi(y) \not\equiv 0$ then

$$n^{q\eta/2-d}L^{-q/2}(n)\int_{W_n}g(X_t)\,dt\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow}R\;,\quad n\to+\infty,$$
 (3)

where the random variable R is a q-Rosenblatt-type random variable.

q-Rosenblatt-type random variable:

$$R = (\gamma(d,\eta))^{q/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dq}}' \int_{W} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_q, u \rangle} du \frac{B(d\lambda_1) \ldots B(d\lambda_q)}{(|\lambda_1| \cdot \ldots \cdot |\lambda_q|)^{(d-\eta)/2}}, \ \gamma(d,\eta) = rac{\Gamma\left((d-\eta)/2
ight)}{2^{\eta} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(\eta/2)},$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dq}}'$ is the multiple Wiener–Ito integral with respect to a complex Gaussian white noise measure B (with structural measure being the spectral measure of Y).

Example

Assume that

$$g(y) = 1{y > u} - P(G(Y_0)Z_0 > u)$$

where G is nonnegative or nonpositive ν_1 —a.e. Then

$$\xi(y) = \mathsf{E}[\mathbb{1}\{G(y)Z_0 > u\}] - P(G(Y_0)Z_0 > u).$$

- ▶ If u = 0 then $\xi(y) \equiv 0$, so the Gaussian case applies.
- ▶ If $u \neq 0$ then $\xi(y) \not\equiv 0$, so the non-Gaussian case applies. Let uG(v) > 0 for all v.
 - q = 1: $G: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotone right-continuous non–constant fct. with ν_1 ($\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G(x) = 0\}$) = 0. q=2: $G(y)=G_1(|y|)$ with G_1 as above.

Example

Let the random volatility field $X_t = G(|Y_t|)Z_t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be s.t.

- Y is a centered Gaussian random field with unit variance and corr. function $\rho(t) \geq 0$ as above, $\rho(t) \sim |t|^{-\eta}$ as $|t| \to +\infty$
- ▶ $G(x) \ge 0$ is continuous as above with $E|G(Y_0)|^{1+\theta} < \infty$ for some $\theta \in (0,1)$.
- \triangleright { Z_t } is a heavy-tailed white noise, F_z is continuous, $E|Z_0| < +\infty$, $EZ_0 \neq 0$, $EZ_0^2 = +\infty$.



For $\widetilde{G}(y) = G(|y|)$, It holds

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathsf{cov}_X(t,u,v) \, du \, dv \, dt = (\mathsf{E} Z_0)^2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\langle \widetilde{G},H_k \rangle_\varphi^2}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^k(t) \, dt.$$

- ▶ Since rank $(\widetilde{G}) = 2$, X is l.r.d. if $\int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \rho^2(t) dt = +\infty$, that is, if $\eta \in (0, d/2)$.
- For niveau $u \neq 0$, the asymptotic behavior of the volume of the level sets $A_{ij}(X, W_n)$ is of 2-Rosenblatt-type $(rank (\xi) = q = 2) \text{ if } \eta \in (0, d/2).$

Summary:

The correct statistics associated with the new definition of l.r.d. is the volume of excursion sets!!!!

Open problems

- Checking the new LRD definition for other classes of processes and fields with infinite variance
- Connection of LRD with LT for the volume of excursions of other stationary random fields

Literature: Historical and general expositions

- [1] J. Beran, Y. Feng, S. Ghosh, R. Kulik, Long Memory Processes: Probabilistic Properties and Statistical Methods. Springer, 2012.
- [2] H. E. Hurst, Long term storage capacity of reservoirs. Trans. Amer. Soc. Civil Engrs., 116, pp. 770-779, 1951.
- [3] B.B. Mandelbrot, Une classe de processus stochastiques homothétiques à soi; application à la loi climatologique de H.E. Hurst. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, 260, pp. 3274-3277, 1965.
- [4] D.B. Percival, The statistics of long memory processes. PhD Thesis, University of Washington, U.S.A, 1983.
- [5] C.C. Heyde, Y. Yang, On defining long range dependence. Journal of Applied Probability, 34 (4), pp. 939 - 944, 1997.
- [6] W. Hoeffding, Scale-invariant correlation theory. In N. I. Fisher and P. K. Sen (Eds.), The Collected Works of Wassily Hoeffding, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 57-107, 1940,
- [7] F. Lavancier, Long memory random fields. In: Dependence in Probability and Statistics, Lecture Notes in Statist. 187, Springer, pp. 195–220, 2006.
- [8] G. Samorodnitsky, Stochastic processes and long range dependence. Springer, Cham, 2016.

Literature: Limit theorems

- [1] R. L. Dobrushin and P. Major, Non-central limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of Gaussian fields. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 50 (1979), no. 1, 27-52.
- [2] J. Damarackas and V. Paulauskas, Spectral covariance and limit theorems for random fields with infinite variance. J. Multivariate Anal. 153 (2017), 156-175.
- [3] L. Giraitis, H. L. Koul, and D. Surgailis, Large sample inference for long memory processes. Imperial College Press, London, 2012.
- [4] L. Giraitis and D. Surgailis, CLT and other limit theorems for functionals of Gaussian processes. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 70 (1985), no. 2, 191-212.
- [5] S. N. Lahiri and Peter M. Robinson, Central limit theorems for long range dependent spatial linear processes. Bernoulli 22 (2016), no. 1, 345-375.
- [6] N. Leonenko and A. Olenko, Sojourn measures of Student and Fisher- Snedecor random fields. Bernoulli 20 (2014), no. 3, 1454-1483.
- [7] V. Paulauskas, Some remarks on definitions of memory for stationary random processes and fields. Lith. Math. J. 56 (2016), no. 2, 229-250.
- [8] V. Pilipauskaite and D. Surgailis, Scaling transition for nonlinear random fields with long-range dependence. Stochastic Process. Appl. 127 (2017), no. 8, 2751-2779.
- [9] R. Kulik, E. Spodarev, Long range dependence of heavily tailed random functions. Preprint, arXiv:1706.00742v1, 2017.