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## Some information theory

- X - a random variable with values in a finite set $A_{X}$, with law $p_{X}$.
- Shannon's entropy

$$
H(X)=H\left(p_{X}\right)=-\sum_{x \in A_{X}} p_{X}(x) \log p_{X}(x)
$$

- Conditional entropy

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(Y \mid X) & =\sum_{x \in A_{X}} p_{X}(x)\left(-\sum_{y \in A_{Y}} p_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x) \log \left(p_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E} H(\mathbb{P}(Y \in \cdot \mid X))
\end{aligned}
$$

- Mutual information

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(Y: X) & =H(Y)-H(Y \mid X)=H(X)-H(X \mid Y) \\
& =H(X)+H(Y)-H(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Mutual information

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X: Y) & =H(Y)-H(Y \mid X)=H(X)-H(X \mid Y) \\
& =H(X)+H(Y)-H(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- A simple observation

$$
I(X, Y: Z, Y) \leq I(X, Y: Z)+H(Y)
$$

i.e. sending $k$-bits cannot increase the mutual information by more than $k$-bits.

## Quantum setting

- Pure states - unit elements of a complex Hilbert space $H$ (in our case of dimension $d, \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d}$ )
- We identify a state $x \in H$ with the projection on $\operatorname{span}(x)$, i.e. $x x^{*}$
- Mixed states - convex combinations of pure states, i.e. positive self-adjoint operators of trace one

$$
\psi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{*} . \quad\left|x_{i}\right|=1, p_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1
$$

- A measurement, POVM - $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ - a collection of positive operators on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$, such that

$$
\sum_{i \in I} P_{i}=I d
$$

A measurement on a system in state $\psi$ gives output $i$ with probability $p_{\psi}(i)=\operatorname{tr} P_{i} \psi$.

- A measurement, POVM - $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ - a collection of positive operators on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$, such that

$$
\sum_{i \in I} P_{i}=I d
$$

A measurement on a system in state $\psi$ gives output $i$ with probability $p_{\psi}(i)=\operatorname{tr} P_{i} \psi$.

- Nondegenerate von Neumann measurement, $P_{i}=e_{i} e_{i}^{*}$, where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$-an orthonormal basis. For a pure state $x$,

$$
p_{x}(i)=\left|\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

## Bipartite systems

A system composed of two subsystems is described by a tensor product of corresponding Hilbert spaces. Typically:

- Alice has access to a part of the system (some particles) modeled on a Hilbert space $H_{A}, \operatorname{dim} H_{A}=d_{A}$
- Bob has access to the remaining part of the system $-H_{B}$, $\operatorname{dim} H_{B}=d_{B}$.
- The whole system is $H=H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$, with $\operatorname{dim} H=d_{A} d_{B}$.


## Local measurements

- $\left\{P_{i} \otimes Q_{j}\right\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$
- Alice and Bob measure only their parts of the system, gives rise to a pair of random variables $X, Y$ with values in $I, J$ resp.
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Classical mutual information of a bipartite state $\psi$.

$$
I_{C}(\psi)=\max _{(X, Y)} I(X: Y)
$$

i.e. Alice and Bob measure their parts of the systems and one looks at the measurements which maximize the mutual information between their results.

## Information locking (very informally)

DiVincenzo et. al. (2003) found a state $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{2 d} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d}$ shared between Alice and Bob, s.t.

- if Alice sends to Bob a single bit (which changes the state $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}$ ) the classical mutual information increases by $\frac{1}{2} \log d \xrightarrow{d \rightarrow \infty} \infty$, i.e.

$$
I_{C}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)-I_{C}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log d
$$

- Physicists say that a single bit 'unlocks' $\frac{1}{2} \log d$ bits of correlation locked in $\psi$.
- This cannot happen in classical information theory.


## A rough description of the protocol

- $\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{t}\right\}$ - unitaries (specially chosen), $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ - orth. basis in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$.
- Alice chooses uniformly at random $k \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ and $m \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, prepares two systems, one in state $e_{m}$, the other in state $U_{k} e_{m}$ and sends the latter to Bob.
- If Bob doesn't know $k$, he can only say very little about $(m, k)$. For $t=2$ :

$$
I_{c}(\psi) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log d
$$

- If Bob knows $k$, he can invert $U_{k}$ and measure $m$

$$
I_{c}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)=1+\log d
$$

## Entropic uncertainty

For the construction to work, one needs a lower bound on

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} H\left(p_{U_{k} x}\right),
$$

where $p_{y}=\left(p_{y}(1), \ldots, p_{y}(d)\right)$ with

$$
p_{y}(m)=\left|\left\langle y, e_{m}\right\rangle\right|^{2} .
$$

## Theorem (Maassen-Uffink)

$U_{1}, U_{2}$ - unitary matrices. Then

$$
\min _{|x|=1} \frac{1}{2}\left(H\left(p_{U_{1} x}\right)+H\left(p_{U_{2} x}\right)\right) \geq-\log c,
$$

where $c=\max _{i, j \leq n} \mid\left\langle U_{0} U_{1}^{*} e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle$.
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## Example

If $U_{1}, U_{2}$ are mutually unbiased (e.g. $c=1 / \sqrt{d}$ ), e.g. $U_{1}=I d$, $U_{2}$ - Fourier, then

$$
\min _{|x|=1} \frac{1}{2}\left(H\left(p_{U_{1} x}\right)+H\left(p_{U_{2} x}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log d
$$

This is best possible since for $x=U_{1}^{-1} e_{1}, H\left(p_{U_{1} x}\right)=0$ and $H\left(p_{U_{2} x}\right) \leq \log d$.

## What happens for general $t$ ?

Can you find $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}$ such that

$$
\Theta(d, t):=\min _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} H\left(p_{U_{k} x}\right) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) \log d ?
$$

- For $3 \leq t \leq \sqrt{d}$ mutually unbiased bases do not work (Balister-Wehner, Ambainis). You get again $\frac{1}{2} \log d$.
- For $t=d+1$ you get (Ivanovic, Sanchez, 1992) $\Theta(d, t) \geq \log (d+1)-1$.
- In general random constructions only
- Hayden et al. (2004)

$$
\Theta\left(d, \log ^{4} d\right) \geq \log d-O(1)
$$

- Fawzi-Hayden-Sen (2013)

$$
\Theta(d, t) \geq\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{O(1) \log t}{t}}\right) \log d-\log \left(\frac{t}{\log t}\right)
$$

## Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. 2014)

If $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}$ are i.i.d. (Haar) random unitary matrices, then with probability $1-o(1)$, as $d \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} H\left(p_{U_{k} x}\right) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) \log d-C
$$

where $C$ is a universal constant.
In particular this answers the question of Leung-Wehner-Winter (2009) about identifying for fixed $t$ the limit

$$
\liminf _{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log d} \max _{U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}} \min _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} H\left(p_{U_{k} x}\right)
$$

which turns out to be $1-1 / t$.

## Sketch of proof

- Majorization $p=(p(1), \ldots, p(N)), q=(q(1), \ldots, q(N))$. We say that $q$ majorizes $p(p \prec q)$ if for all $k \leq N$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{\downarrow}(i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{\downarrow}(i)
$$

with equality for $k=N$.

- The function $p \mapsto F(p)=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} p(i) \log p(i)$ is Schur concave, i.e.

$$
p \prec q \Longrightarrow F(p) \geq F(q) .
$$

- The main idea: Find a sequence $q=(q(1), \ldots, q(t d))$ such that for all $x$,

$$
p:=p_{U_{1} x} \oplus \cdots \oplus p_{U_{t} x} \prec q .
$$

- An observation due to Rudnicki-Puchała-Życzkowski (2014)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{\downarrow}(i) \leq s_{k}^{2}
$$

where $s_{k}$ is the maximum operator norm of a matrix formed by choosing $k$ columns from $\left[U_{1}^{*}\left|U_{2}^{*}\right| \ldots \mid U_{t}^{*}\right]$.

- Standard concentration of measure $+\epsilon$-net + union bound approach gives

$$
s_{k} \leq 1+C \sqrt{\frac{k}{d} \ln \left(\frac{e d t}{k}\right)}
$$

- This allows you to define $q(k) \simeq s_{k}^{2}-s_{k-1}^{2}$. Estimating the 'entropy' of $q$ ends the proof.


## A different perspective - towards metric uncertainty relations

The inequality

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} H\left(p_{U_{k} x}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \log d
$$

can be rewritten as

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} d_{K L}\left(p_{U_{k} x}, \operatorname{unif}([d])\right) \leq \varepsilon \log d
$$

where $d_{K L}(\nu, \mu)=\int \log \left(\frac{d \nu}{d \mu}\right) d \nu$.

## Question:

Can you replace $d_{K L}$ with something else, e.g. the total variation or Hellinger distance?

## Total variation uncertainty relations (Fawzi-Hayden-Sen)

A change of setting,

- a bipartite system $H=H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$, with $H_{A}=\mathbb{C}^{d_{A}}, H_{B}=\mathbb{C}^{d_{B}}$.
- $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in\left[d_{A}\right]},\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in\left[d_{B}\right]},\left\{e_{i} \otimes f_{j}\right\}_{i \in\left[d_{d}\right], j \in\left[d_{d}\right]}$ - orth. bases in $H_{A}, H_{B}, H$.
- For $x \in H$, define $p_{\psi}^{A}=\left(p_{\psi}^{A}(1), \ldots, p_{\psi}^{A}\left(d_{A}\right)\right)$ by

$$
p_{x}^{A}(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_{B}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{i} \otimes f_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} .
$$

- $p_{x}^{A}(i)$ is the probability of getting outcome $i$, when measuring the $A$ part of the system in the basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d_{A}}$.


## Question

Can we find unitaries $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}$ acting on $H$ so that

$$
\max _{x \in H,|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} d_{T V}\left(p_{U_{k} x}^{A}, u n i f\left(\left[d_{A}\right]\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon ?
$$
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## Geometrically:

Can we find $t$ decompositions of $\mathbb{C}^{d_{A} d_{B}}$ into $d_{A}$ orthogonal subspaces of dimension $d_{B}$, such that for any $x$ in most decompositions $|x|^{2}$ is evenly distributed among the subspaces?

## Theorem (Fawzi-Hayden-Sen, 2013)

If $d_{B} \geq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ and $t \geq C \log (1 / \varepsilon) / \varepsilon^{2}$ and $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}$ are i.i.d. random unitary matrices, then with high probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in H,|x|=1} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} d_{T V}\left(p_{U_{k} x}^{A}, \text { unif }\left(\left[d_{A}\right]\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to eliminate $\log (1 / \varepsilon)$ in the assumption on $t$.

## Proposition (A. 2016)

If (1) holds for some (deterministic) matrices $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t}$ then $d_{B}, t \geq c / \varepsilon^{2}$

- for $d_{K L}, t=O(1 / \varepsilon)$, no need for $H_{B}$
- for $d_{T V}, t=O\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, one needs an auxiliary system $H_{B}$.


## Hellinger distance

- $p, q$ - distributions on $\{1, \ldots, N\}$

$$
d_{H}(p, q)=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\sqrt{p(i)}-\sqrt{q(i)})^{2}}
$$

- 

$$
d_{T V}(p, q) \leq \sqrt{2} d_{H}(p, q)
$$

## Theorem (A. 2016)

If $t, d_{B} \geq C / \varepsilon^{2}$ and $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d}$ are i.i.d. random unitaries, then with high probability

$$
\max _{|x|=1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} d_{H}\left(p_{U_{k} x}^{A}, \operatorname{unif}\left(\left[d_{A}\right]\right)\right)^{2}} \leq \varepsilon
$$
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- Adapting G. Schechtman's proof of (Gaussian) Dvoretzky theorem to random unitary matrices.
- $x \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} d_{H}\left(p_{U_{k} x}^{A}, \text { unif }\left(\left[d_{A}\right]\right)\right)^{2}}$ is subgaussian
- Comparison with a Gaussian process via the Majorizing measure theorem
- A byproduct: improved dependence on $\varepsilon$ in Dvoretzky thm. for $\ell_{1}^{n}\left(\ell_{2}^{m}\right)$.
- Weaker conditions on $t$ if restricting $x$ to a subset,
- $t=1$ is enough for separable states $x=x_{A} \otimes x_{B}$ (Applications to Quantum Data Hiding).


## Thank you

